### PUBLIC HEALTH REPORTS

VOL. 37

**AUGUST 11, 1922** 

No. 32

### REPORT ON THE PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY OF DELAWARE, OHIO.

REPORT OF AN INVESTIGATION MADE BY THE ENGINEERING DIVISION OF THE OHIO STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.

By F. H. WARING, Principal Assistant Engineer, Ohio State Department of Health.

#### INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT.

Under the law of Ohio providing for the correction of stream pollution and the improvement of impure and unsafe public water supplies, upon complaint of a board of health of a city or village, or 10 per cent of the electors thereof, that the public water supply is impure and dangerous to health, the State commissioner of health is required to investigate the conditions complained of. If he finds the water supply to be impure and dangerous to health and that it is impracticable sufficiently to improve it by removing the source of pollution, or that the supply is being rendered impure by improper construction or inadequate size of water-purification works, he is required to notify such city, or the corporation owning or operating such water supply or water works, of his findings and of the time and place when and where a hearing may be had with the public health council.

If the public health council finds that the water supply is unsafe, the commissioner of health notifies the mayor or other officials, or officers of the corporation, to make the necessary changes to render it safe. If the findings or order of the commissioner of health are not acceptable to the city or corporation, referees are chosen to investigate, and they may affirm, reject, or modify the findings or order of the commissioner of health. An order as made by the commissioner of health or as approved or modified by the referees may be reversed, vacated, or modified by the supreme court if the court is of the opinion that such order was unlawful or unreasonable.

The article here presented is a report that was prepared to be presented at the hearing before the public health council of the State

department of health in regard to the safety of the public water supply and the necessity for the issuance of an order. It is believed that this report will be of especial interest and importance to waterworks officials and health authorities, in view (1) of the finding of the failure of chlorination and (2) of the possible influence of hog cholera on the watershed above the intake upon the failure of chlorination and upon the epidemic of water-borne disease.

Acting upon a resolution of the Delaware District Board of Health, representatives of the State department of health made an investigation of the public water supply of Delaware, Ohio, on December 13, 1921. This water supply had been the subject of several investigations during the preceding three years; two had recently been made by the State department of health, one on November 17 and another on December 1, 1921.

The city of Delaware is centrally located in Delaware County, of which it is the county seat. The Olentangy River passes through the center of the city. The population according to the census of 1920 is 8,756. Delaware is a typical college community, and for the past 20 years the population has varied but little. Ohio Wesleyan University is located there, and the activities of the people in the city are closely associated with those of the college.

Municipal improvements comprise a very complete system of paved streets throughout the built-up sections; electric light and power, furnished by a private company; a public water supply system, owned and operated by the Delaware Water Co.; a fairly good sewerage system, designed and constructed on the separate plan and originally having a sewage treatment plant for disposal of the sanitary sewage. In recent years the treatment plant has been overtaxed, neglected, and practically abandoned.

#### SOURCE OF WATER SUPPLY.

The Delaware Water Co. was incorporated in 1888, and the water works were constructed and placed in operation the following year. The works are located three miles north of the city, on a tract of land bordering the Olentangy River. The original source of supply consisted of a dug well with a connecting infiltration gallery and an emergency intake at the end of the gallery extending into the Olentangy River. The soil formations in this vicinity consist of glacial deposits of about 25 feet in depth, overlying the bedrock of limestone. The sand and gravel just above the bedrock afford a limited supply of ground water.

As early as 1895 the records indicate the definite use of the emergency intake on account of the inadequate yield of the ground water

from the dug well and infiltration gallery. At this time typhoid fever was suspected as having been caused by the use of the river water. In 1896 the first attempt was made to procure a deep well supply and one well was drilled. In 1905 the public water supply was again suspected of being the cause of a typhoid fever epidemic, on account of the use of the river water through the emergency intake. Soon thereafter a standpipe was built on the distributing system to afford better storage of ground water; and in 1907, four additional deep wells were drilled. In 1908 and 1909, the water company began the practice of flooding the land adjacent to the well system and gallery and also added 13 tubular wells in the gravel deposits along the Olentangy River bank. Additional wells were installed in 1912, 1914, and 1920.

The existence of the emergency intake and the suspicion of unsatisfactory water supply led the water company to install chlorine disinfection devices in 1917. Since that date all water supplied to the city has been chlorinated in varying quantities and record kept of the amount of chlorine used and the amount of water treated. The use of Olentangy River for flooding land adjacent to the wells was made the subject of a report by the State department of health on October 11, 1917. Since that date, river water has been used directly through the emergency intake for a period of 15 days in January and February, 1918; at no time during 1919; and almost continuously from January 8, 1920, up to the time of this report, except for short periods when the quantity of ground water from the well system seemed to be adequate.

The Olentangy River watershed above Delaware has one city and 13 towns, with a total urban population of about 12,500. The drainage area above the waterworks intake is 383.8 square miles. The urban density of population for that portion of the area above the intake is about 33 per square mile. Seven of the communities are incorporated and only two have public water supply systems. The city of Galion has a population of 7,374 and the village of Mount Gilead a population of 1,837. Each of these communities has a sewerage system and a sewage treatment plant. Galion is 46 miles upstream, and Mount Gilead 25 miles upstream from the waterworks intake. There are 4 communities within 10 miles of the intake, the populations ranging from 116 to 344; but at no one of these is there a public water supply or sewerage system.

#### EXISTING WATERWORKS.

At the time of this investigation the Delaware waterworks consisted of the following: A source of supply comprising a system of drilled wells, a dug well and infiltration gallery, and an emergency intake into Olentangy River connecting into the infiltration gallery;

a pumping station adjacent to the well field and housing three highservice pumps; a 235,000-gallon standpipe located directly on the main to the city, at a point one-fourth mile from the pumping station; and several miles of distributing system mains serving practically the entire city.

The well system includes 25 drilled wells, 4 of which extend to a depth of over 225 feet, 1 is about 150 feet in depth, 1 is 92 feet in depth, and the remaining 19 are shallow tubular wells of about 25 feet in depth. All of the wells are cased down to the rock. The most recent well to be constructed was drilled in 1920 and is cased off at 150-foot depth. At the present time it supplies most of the water derived entirely from well sources.

The large dug well, infiltration gallery, and emergency river intake represent the original water supply development and still remain in use. The dug well is 30 feet in diameter by 28 feet deep, is finished with stone walls without mortar joints, and has a concrete slab top covered with earth. Connecting to the dug well is an infiltration gallery 4 feet high by 6 feet wide, also constructed of loose stone and having a stone slab cover. The gallery is 293 feet long. It is parallel with and approximately 100 feet from the bank of the river. These two structures are built in excavation for about 3 feet into the bedrock.

Located almost immediately over the infiltration gallery and some of the wells there were formerly five land filters. These so-called natural filters were constructed by stripping the soil to make embankments in order to subdivide the area into filtering units. In an attempt to force the yield of the wells still further, there was constructed in 1920 a vertical filter wall 26 feet in width, consisting of fine sand and located between the dug well and the land filters. Both of these schemes were definitely abandoned in the fall of 1920.

The pumping equipment consists of two high-duty Deane duplex pumps and one high-duty Knowlson and Kelly pump. The suction connections and valve arrangements are such that water may be pumped from the dug well and gallery alone or from the drilled well system alone or from the two together. At the present time water is being taken from the Olentangy River through the emergency intake, infiltration gallery, and dug well almost continuously. It is the practice to operate the pumps according to a certain predetermined maximum vacuum, and if the ground water available from the drilled well system is not adequate to make easy pumping, water is drawn from the partially open valve from the dug well, infiltration gallery, and river intake systems.

Disinfection equipment is installed in the pump station in a special partitioned space in the engine room. This equipment consists of a Wallace & Tiernan chlorinator of the M. S. B. manually controlled

type, capable of applying quantities of chlorine varying from 5 pounds per day up to any desired amount. To assist in the accurate treatment of the water pumped, a Venturi meter has been installed upon the main discharge line from the plant. Suitable indicating and recording devices register the amount of water being pumped and the chlorine being applied.

#### QUALITY OF WATER SUPPLY,

The public water supply of Delaware was first chlorinated on May 3, 1917. Since that time continuous chlorination of all water pumped has taken place. Regular reports of operation are submitted to the State department of health, showing the performance of the chlorination plant. The information submitted includes data upon the analyses of the treated water as shown by weekly samples analyzed by the consulting analyst for the water company.

In November 1920, the Delaware Water Co. replaced the original chlorinator with a new and larger type of installation (the description of which is given above). The change was made necessary on account of the limitation in maximum quantity of chlorine that could be applied by the old machine. The consulting analyst had reported occasional poor results, and the limited capacity of the machine was blamed for the inefficient disinfection of the water supply.

Analytical studies of the disinfection of the public water supply made by the State department of health were begun February 19, 1918, just after river water had been used through the direct intake. results of these studies showed unsatisfactory disinfection of the water. On March 18, 1918, the survey made by the State department of health showed the water to be of satisfactory sanitary quality. No use of river water had been made during the interval. During 1919. river water was not used, and no sampling of the water by this department was undertaken. Reports of the consulting analyst for the Delaware Water Co. indicated satisfactory water during that year. In 1920, samples were collected by representatives of the department on four different occasions, and each time the study represented river water in combination with certain amount of well water, the combined waters being treated by the disinfection process. The results were uniformly poor, showing the disinfection to be not entirely satisfactory.

In 1921, samples were collected on two different occasions, only one of which was following the use of the Olentangy River water. The results of samples collected, particularly on November 28, indicate that the water was not entirely satisfactory from a sanitary standpoint, in spite of continuous excessive chlorination, varying between one end two parts per million. Presence of turbidity was noted in all of the

August 11, 1922 1938

tap samples collected November 28, on account of the flood conditions of Olentangy River. On December 13 the river was not being used as a source of supply, and, accordingly, the results of analyses of samples collected on that date indicate fairly satisfactory disinfection of the water supply.

The citizens of Delaware complained regarding the tastes resulting from excessive chlorination throughout the year 1921, and it is, therefore, evident that the company had been making the attempt to disinfect the water in a manner to make it safe. There have been no interruptions in the chlorine treatment; but, nevertheless, numerous tests made by the consulting analyst have showed unsatisfactory results in the Delaware tap water during 1921. The tests made by the State department of health on November 28 check the poor results obtained by the consulting analyst. It is apparent, therefore, that disinfection of the combined river water and well water has failed.

#### OUTBREAK OF ENTERITIS.

During October and November, 1921, an extensive outbreak of intestinal disease occurred in the city of Delaware. This disturbance was particularly pronounced about the middle of November. The division of communicable diseases made investigation of approximately 743 cases of suspected illness and reported 373 of these definitely to be enteritis. The conclusion as to the responsibility for the epidemic was that the public water supply was to blame. This conclusion was reached after a careful epidemiological study of the cases both positive and suspected.

Coincident with the outbreak of enteritis came the steady rains of the autumn season of the year, resulting in turbid water of probably highly polluted character flowing in the Olentangy River. During this interval, river water was being used as a source of supply variously estimated at from 25 per cent to 75 per cent of the whole supply pumped at the water works. Samples of the city tap water taken November 28 indicated conclusively that chlorine disinfection of the water had failed.

An inspection of watershed conditions above the Delaware water works intake on December 13 revealed the fact that three farms within 7 miles of the intake had experienced an epidemic of hog cholera, resulting in the death of about 40 animals between October 1 and December 13. It developed that proper disposition had not been made of some of these animals, and it certainly seemed probable that the character of run-off in the river was seriously affected by the bacterial pollution resulting from the cholera epidemic among the hogs.

#### TYPHOID FEVER DATA.

The city of Delaware has experienced rather frequent occurrences of typhoid fever during the past two decades. The public water supply has been suspected as being the cause of most of the instances. Previous to the installation of the chlorine disinfection devices in May, 1917, the average typhoid death rate for 9 years was 32.1 per hundred thousand. For the four years following the installation of the water disinfection devices, the typhoid death rate has averaged 11.3 per hundred thousand. A similar reduction in the case rate is indicated.

It is pertinent to note that all of the cases of typhoid fever that occurred in Delaware in 1921 occurred in the period between September 1 and December 3, coincident with the enteritis outbreak which has been definitely attributed to the public water supply. The typhoid cases have been relatively mild, and no deaths occurred in 1921.

#### RECENT EFFORTS TOWARD IMPROVING THE PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY.

As noted previously in this report, the Delaware Water Co. has made several attempts to furnish a public water supply adequate enough in yield from the wells to permit abandonment of the emergency river connection. All attempts to increase the ground water supply satisfactorily have failed. On October 11, 1917, the State health department approved a scheme of supplementing the ground water supply by the use of land filters, but made approval conditional on the abandonment of the river intake and satisfactory operation of the chlorine disinfection devices.

The Delaware Water Co. became convinced that it was not possible to comply with the two conditions mentioned, and, accordingly, on March 18 and May 25, 1920, preliminary plans were filed with the State department of health for a modern rapid sand filter plant to be built at the site of the existing works and using Olentangy River as a source of supply. Subsequently the city of Delaware considered the proposition to provide a municipal water supply and, accordingly, did not give the water company renewal of contract for water rental at the proposed schedule of rates filed. The city and the company each had appraisals of the water works made; but the city did not agree to purchase the works, nor did it signify its attitude with respect to a purchase.

The company has been unsuccessful in getting a contract for water rates that would permit the construction of a filter plant and has appealed to the public utilities commission for an adjustment of water rates to give a fair return on the existing works. In all probability the company will seek an added rate adjustment to permit the financing of a new water purification plant. At the time of this

report, this rate question is before the public utilities commission for decision. In the meanwhile the water company has employed a consulting engineer to prepare complete plans for a water purification and softening plant. The company has expressed in writing to the State department of health its intention of constructing the water purification plant devices if favorable rate adjustments can be obtained.

#### SUMMARY.

Acting upon the petition adopted by the Delaware District Board of Health December 8, 1921, in accordance with the provisions of section 1252 of the General Code of Ohio, the public water supply of Delaware was investigated by representatives of the State department. It was found that the existing sources of supply consisted of health. of ground water supplemented by use of Olentangy River water, the use of the latter having been almost a regular procedure during 1920 and 1921. The disinfection treatment of the water supply during this interval was not sufficiently uniformly satisfactory to make the water suitable from a sanitary standpoint. The conclusion reached. therefore, is that the public water supply has been found to be impure and dangerous to health and that it is not practicable to sufficiently improve the character of the supply by removing the sources of pollution affecting it. The complaint of the city board of health is justified, and action should be taken by the State department of health to compel the installation of the necessary public water supply improvements.

Appendix.

Distance above intake.	City or town.	Popula- tion.	Incorporated,	Remarks.
Miles.	Norton	116	No	West Branch; no water supply; no
4	Norton	110	140	sewers.
9	Waldo	344	Yes	Do.
9	Westfield	118	No	East Branch; no water supply; m
•				sewers.
	Ashley	1 260	Yes	Do.
17	Cardington Edison	1,109	Yes	Do.
23	Edison		Yes	Do.
25	Mt. Gilead	1,837	Yes	East Branch; water supply; sewage
	0.1.1		1	treatment.
27	Caledonia	492	Yes	
	(Martel	151	No	sewers. Do.
31	Climax		No	
	(Thomis	170	No	Do. Do.
35	New Winchester	107	No	Do.
•••••	St. James	44	No	Do.
46	Galion	7,374	Yes	West Branch; water supply; sewag
		,,		treatment.
Total	14	12,550	7 incorporated; 7	2 water cumples come to systment
Total	***************************************	12,550	unincorporated.	2 water supply, sewage treatment 12 no water supply, no sewers.

<sup>1</sup> Population of Ashley is 786. About one-third of the village is on the Olentangy River watershed.

The following summary of investigations of outbreak of enteritis at Delaware, Ohio, in 1921, were compiled from records of the division of communicable diseases, Ohio State Department of Health.

TABLE II.—Chronology of enteritis cases.

Date of onset.	Number of positive cases.	Date of onset.	Number of positive cases.
October 1 to 10	0 1	November 11 to 20	240 12 373

TABLE III .- Relation of water supply to occurrence of enteritis.

Water supply used.	Suspect positive	ed and e cases.	Positiv	e cases.
	Number.	Per cent.	Number.	Per cent.
City water exclusively Well water exclusively. Both city and well water.	643 56 44	86.5 7.6 5.9	364 2 7	97.6 0.5 1.9
Total	743	100	373	100

Note. - Total number of positive enteritis cases equals 50.2 per cent of total suspected and positive cases,

TABLE IV .- Typhoid fever at Delaware, Ohio.1

Year.	Popula- tion.	Total cases.	Total deaths.	Case rate (per 100,000).	Death rate (per 100,000).
199	8, 995 9, 076 9, 044 9, 012 8, 980 8, 948 8, 916 8, 852 8, 852 8, 756 8, 800	32 10 4 6 9	56 11 22 22 11 33 52 11	44. 5 44. 7 380. 0 113. 0 2 45. 4 68. 3 102. 8 3 113. 6	55. 666 10. 11. 22. 22. 11. 33. 56. 22. 11. 11.
Average	 	1	l 	(8 yrs.) 111.5	(13 yrs.) i 25

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From Ohio State Department of Health mortality records 1909-1921.

<sup>2</sup> Chlorination of public water supply begun May 3, 1917.

<sup>3</sup> Typhoid records for 1921 up to December 15, 1921; all cases occurred during period September 1 to December 3.

Average typhoid death rate for 9 years before chlorination, 32.1; for 4 years after chlorination, 11.4.

TABLE V.—Summary of analyses of public water supply at Delaware.

[Ohio State Department of Health Laboratories.]

	Wa	ter treatme	ent dat	a.	Bacteriological data.									
Date of	D:	Appear-	P.P. M.	P.P. M.	No.	Bacteria per c.c.		B. coli results (confirmed).						
survey.	River intake used.	ance of water	rine	resid- ual	tap		Aver-		1 c. c.			10 c. c.		
:		treated.	ap- plied.	chlo- rine.	sam- ples.	age at 20°C.	age at 37°C.	Neg.	Sus.	Pos.	Neg.	Sus.	Pos.	
Feb. 9, 1918 Mar. 18, 1918	Yes	Turbid			6 7	291 77	42 51	6	0	0	1 6	2	3	
Jan. 9, 1920	Yes	Clear	0.35		4	26	33	Ò	ı ă	ŏ	Ō	3	ľ	
Nov. 13, 1920 Dec. 2, 1920	Yes	Cle ir Turbid	1.00	0.35	12	103 84	50 154	6 12	0	1 0	6	0	Ō	
Dec. 20, 1920	Yes	Turbid	1.80	0.35	12	41	154	5	2	5	3 2	4	2 5	
Nov. 17, 1921	Yes	Turbid	1.70	0. 25	8	114	130	8	O	0		6	lŏ	
Dec. 13, 1921	No	Clear	1.80		10	4	2	10	0	. 0	10	0	0	

Report of operation of the Delaware Water Co. disinfection plant to the Ohio State Department of Health.

AUGUST, 1921.

		Pot	ın <b>d</b> s.		Pa m	rts p	er 1.			Ва	cterial r	esult	s.		
	Total water			ï.				20° 48 I		37 24	° C— Hrs.	P	resu B.	mptiv Coli.	78
Date.	treated (thou- sands of			of wate								F	Bile	-Brotl	h.
	gallons).			ature	ty.							Ra	w.	Dis	inf.
		Hypo.	Liq. Cl.	Temperature of water.	Turbidity	Color.	Iron.	Raw.	Disinf.	Raw.	Disinf.	1. c.c.	10 с.с.	1 c. c.	10 c.c.
				°F.											
<b></b>	1, 197		14												
<b>2</b>	1,144 1,014		14 15												
	1,074		13	1							35		••••		•••
5	1,005		14												:
<b></b>	990 970		13½ 12												
R	1,113		14	1									• • • • •		···
9	1,143		14	1											
0	1,070		13												
1 2	1,003 1,032		13												
3	1,685		131								150			····	···
4	935		132	1							100				l
5 <b></b>	1,080		131		.										
6	937		13½ 14		.										ļ
8	998		131		.						10			····	···
9	981		12										l::::	ļ	١
0	1,031		131				ļ	ļ				ļ	ļ		ļ
21 12	970 1.006		14		-										
3	1,000				-				1						···
<b>4</b>	1,075		13	1						1				1	1:::
25	1,104										9			0	i
<u>26</u>	1,072		141					·	.	.		.			ļ
77 28	1,017		15		-	.		•	.	·					
29	1,303														
30	1,237														
31	1,190		143		- [	-		-	.	.	!	.		. <u>'</u>	
Total	33,075		1 4763								204			0/4	П
Assaraga	1 000			1		1	1	1	1				}		1
Average	1,066 1,237					-	·	•	•   • • • •	-	51 150			· ·····	1
Minimum	7,937	1	.1		1		1:::		1::::	1::::	130	1	i		1
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 1.72 p. p. m.

# Report of operation of the Delaware Water Co. disinfection plant to the Ohio State Department of Health—Continued.

#### SEPTEMBER, 1921.

		Pot	ınds.		Pa	rts p illio	er 1.			В	acterial r	esult	s.		
	Total water treated			er.				20° 48 I	C— Irs.	37 24	° C— Hrs.	P	resu B.	mpti Coli.	ve
Date.	(thou- sands of			of wate								E	Bile-	-Brot	h.
	gallons).			ature	ty.							Ra	w.	Dis	inf.
		Hypo.	Liq. Cl.	Temperature of water	Turbidity.	Color.	Iron.	Raw.	Disinf.	Raw.	Disinf.	1. c.c.	10 c.c.	1 c. c.	10 c.c.
				°F.											
1	1,114	[	15	· · · •					• • • •	4	,				
Z	1,020 947	• • • • •	14				١		• • • •	••••	(1)		• • • •	• • • • •	
v	906		14		1								••••	•••••	
5	991	1	13 14 14 15	<b> </b>	ļ	ļ						::::			
6	1,010		15	ļ						ļ					l
7	1,068		15 15 15									]			
B	1,035 1,058		15					· · · · ·	• • • •		30			0	•
9	986	-:	14												
1	904		14										• • • •		• • • •
2	1.058		141 141 15 131												
3	1,178		143												
4	1,034		15												
5	1,064 1,110		131							••••			• • • •		
6	1,110		15 <sup>-</sup>							• • • •	90			0	(2)
R	943 857		13									• • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • •	
9	1.067		15	1		١									
90	1,215		14			l	ļ								
<u> </u>	1,018		141 12 14 15												
2	1,097		12								2,000			0	(
3	1,072 1,088		14	····		,									
5	1,010		141	···-											
8	1,095		141	1::::		i		1::::							
a	1.068	ļ	141 141												
8	1,221		144						ļ	<b> </b>					
29	1,139		15						¦		20			0	1
	1,388	<u> </u>	15	<u> </u>	ļ		<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u></u>
Total	31,791		3 <b>43</b> 0	····				····			2,140	····		0/4	1/
Average	1,059		14.3	ļ	J	ļ	ļ	ļ		ļ	2,000 20			ļ	ļ
Minimum	1,388 857		15 12					····			2,000				
<b></b>	307	1	12					ļ		ļ	20		ļ		ļ
			O	сто	BEI	R, 19	21.								
1	1 100		16	1	Ī	Π	1	Ī	Π	<u> </u>				Г	1
2	1,100 923	1	16 13	1:		1	1		1			1	1		
3	797		15			1			1		1	1	1		1
	967		15 14			ļ	ļ								
<b>‡</b>		1	15 141	1			1								·
4 5	1.008	1		1		!					50			····ö·	
4 5 6	1,008 1,142		143	1	1	1									1
4	1,008 1,142 1,010		14								1		1	"	•
4	1,008 1,142 1,010		14												
4. 5. 6	1,008 1,142 1,010		14 15 12½												
4. 5. 6	1,008 1,142 1,010 964 775 865		14 15 12½												
4. 5. 6	1,008 1,142 1,010 964 775 865		14 15 12½ 13 13 13												
4	1,008 1,142 1,010 964 775 865		14 15 12½ 13 13 15								8			0	
1 <b>4.</b> 15.	1,008 1,142 1,010 964 775 865 1,094 1,147 1,231 1,345		14 15 12½ 13 13 15 15 12½												
14. 15. 16.	1,008 1,142 1,010 964 775 865 1,094 1,147 1,231 1,345 826 827		14 15 12½ 13 13 15 15 12½												
4	1,008 1,142 964 775 865 1,094 1,147 1,231 1,345 1,345 827 1,148		14 15 12½ 13 13 15 12½ 15 15												
14. 15. 16.	1,008 1,142 1,010 964 775 865 1,094 1,147 1,231 1,345 826 827		14 15 12½ 13 13 15												

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Breken in transit. <sup>2</sup> Positive.

<sup>\* 1.63</sup> p. p. m.

## Report of operation of the Delaware Water Co. disinfection plant to the Ohio State Department of Health—Continued.

OCTOBER, 1921—Continued.

		Pot	ın <b>d</b> s.		Pa m	rts p illion	er 1.			В	cterial r	esult	s.		
	Total water treated			ter.				20° 48 I	C— Irs.	37 24	° C— Hrs.	I	Presu B.	mpti Coli.	ve
Date.	(thou- sands of			of wa								1	Bile-	-Brot	h.
•	gallons).			rature	ity.							Ra	w.	Dis	inf.
	:	Hypo.	Liq. Ci.	Temperature of water.	Turbidity	Color.	Iron.	Raw.	Disinf.	Raw.	Disinf.	1. c.c.	10 с.с.	1 c. c.	10 c.c.
				°F.											
1	1,001		191				• • • •		••••					• • • • •	····
2 3	901 1,069		131			••••							••••		····
4	1,120		191 111 131 13 13									ļ		ļ	I
5	1,055		12						• • • •	• • • •		····			····
6 7	1,150 1,129		14 12						l		6		• • • • •	····	····
8	1.165		15												
9	1,054 1,012		15 12												
<u> </u>	1,012		13						• • • •						·
1	1,016	<u> </u>	14											• • • • • •	
Total	31,366		1 431½		ļ	<b> </b>				ļ	82	ļ	····	0/4	0,
Average	1,011				ļ	ļ			ļ		20 50	<b> </b>	<b> </b>		J
	1,345 775										50		ļ		·
Ainimum	1115	1	1		. 1	Ţ		!	1		. 6			1	
	<u> </u>	1	NO	VE	(BE	R, 1	921.	<u> </u>	1			1	1	1	
1	978 958	 	14 15	VE	(BE	R, 1	921.					<u> </u>	ļ		
1 2 3	958 957		14 15 12	VE	(BE	R, 1	921.				40				(3)
12. 234.	958 957 980		14 15 12 16	VE	(BE	R, 1	921.				40			0	(3)
12345.6	958 957 980 953 1,015		14 15 12 16 16	VE	(BE	R, 1	921.				40			0	(3)
123456	958 957 980 953 1,015		14 15 12 16 16 17	VE	(BE	R, 1	921.				40			0	(3)
123456	958 957 980 953 1,015 1,025 1,036		14 15 12 16 16 17	VE	(BE	R, 1	921.				40			0	(3)
1	958 957 980 953 1,015 1,025 1,036 1,046 1,147		14 15 12 16 16 17	VE	(BE	R, 1	921.				40			0	(3)
1	958 957 980 953 1,015 1,025 1,036 1,046 1,147 1,079		14 15 12 16 16 17	VE	4BE	R, 1	921.								(3)
1	958 957 980 953 1,015 1,025 1,036 1,046 1,147 1,079		14 15 12 16 16 17 13 141 131 131 13	VE	4BE	R, 1	921.								(3)
1	958 957 980 953 1,015 1,026 1,036 1,046 1,147 1,079 945		14 15 12 16 16 17 13 14 13 13 13 15	VE	(BE	R, 1	921.								(2)
1	958 957 980 953 1,015 1,026 1,036 1,046 1,147 1,079 945 1,053 950		14 15 12 16 16 17 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 13 14 13	VE	(BE	R, 1	921.								(2)
1	958 957 980 953 1,015 1,025 1,036 1,147 1,079 945 1,053 950		14 15 12 16 16 17 13 14 13 13 15 13 14 12	VE	(BE	R, 1	921.				40			0	
1	958 957 980 953 1,015 1,025 1,036 1,147 1,079 945 1,053 950 1,094 1,046		14 15 12 16 16 17 13 13 13 13 13 15 13 14 12 12	VE	4BE	R, 1	921.								
7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	958 957 980 953 1,015 1,025 1,036 1,046 1,147 1,079 1,053 950 1,054 1,046 1,167		14 15 12 16 16 17 13 14 13 15 13 15 13 11 12 13 11 12	VE	ABE	R, 1	921.				40			0	
7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 99.	958 957 980 953 1,015 1,025 1,036 1,046 1,147 1,079 1,053 950 1,054 1,046 1,167		14 15 12 16 16 17 13 13 13 13 14 12 13 14 12 13 14 14 12 13	VE	ABE	R, 1	921.				40			0	
7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 99.	958 957 980 953 1,015 1,025 1,036 1,046 1,147 1,079 945 1,053 915 1,046 1,167 1,064 1,167 1,065 1,167 1,065		14 15 12 16 17 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 12 13 14 12 13	VEX	4BE	R, 1	921.				40			0	
7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 9. 0. 11. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 6. 7. 8. 9. 9. 11. 12. 13.	958 957 980 953 1,015 1,025 1,036 1,046 1,147 1,079 945 1,053 915 1,046 1,167 1,064 1,167 1,065 1,167 1,065		14 15 12 16 16 17 13 13 13 13 13 14 13 14 13 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15	VE	4BE	R, 1	921.				40			0	(2
7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 4. 5. 6. 6. 7. 8. 99. 10. 11. 12. 23.	958 957 980 953 1,015 1,025 1,036 1,046 1,147 1,079 945 1,053 915 1,046 1,167 1,064 1,167 1,065 1,167 1,065		14 15 12 16 16 17 13 14 13 13 13 14 13 14 15 15 15 13 14 14 15 15 13	VE	ABE	R, 1	921.				40			0	(2
7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 6. 7. 8. 99. 90. 11. 22. 23. 24. 25. 25.	958 957 980 1,015 1,025 1,036 1,046 1,147 1,079 945 1,053 950 1,046 1,167 1,035 1,042 1,042 1,042 1,048 1,049 1,04		14 15 12 16 16 17 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 12 13 8 11 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 15 16 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	VEX	ABE	R, 1	921.				40			0	(2
7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 1. 2. 3. 4. 4. 5. 6. 6. 7. 8. 9. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25.	958 957 980 1,015 1,025 1,036 1,046 1,147 1,079 945 1,053 950 1,046 1,167 1,035 1,042 1,042 1,042 1,048 1,049 1,04		14 15 16 16 17 13 13 13 13 13 14 12 13 11 14 15 15 15 11 14 15 15 11 14 11 14 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	VE	4BE	R, 1	921.				40			0	(2
7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 1. 2. 3. 4. 4. 5. 6. 6. 7. 8. 8. 9. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 24. 27.	958 957 980 1,015 1,025 1,036 1,046 1,147 1,079 945 1,053 950 1,046 1,167 1,035 1,042 1,042 1,042 1,048 1,049 1,04		14 15 16 16 17 13 13 13 13 13 14 12 13 11 14 15 15 15 11 14 15 15 11 14 11 14 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	VEN	4BE	R, 1	921.				50			0	(*
7 8 8 9 0 0 0 1 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 9 0 0 0 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	958 957 980 1,015 1,025 1,036 1,046 1,147 1,079 945 1,053 950 1,046 1,167 1,035 1,042 1,042 1,042 1,048 1,049 1,04		14 15 12 16 16 17 13 13 13 13 13 15 13 14 12 13 13 11 14 15 15 15 15 11 14 11 12 13 14 11 14 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 17 13 13 14 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	VEN	4BE	R, 1	921.				50			0	(*
7. 8. 9. 0. 1.1. 2.2. 3. 4. 5.5. 6. 7. 7. 18. 99. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 25. 26. 27. 28.	958 957 980 1,025 1,036 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,042 1,008 1,015 1,042 1,08 1,015 1,042 1,08 1,015 1,042 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,015 1,042 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08		14 15 12 16 16 17 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 12 13 14 15 15 15 13 14 11 12 14 12 13 14 11 15 15 15 16 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	VEX	4BE	R, 1	921.				50			0	(3
7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 99. 00. 11. 22. 33. 44. 55. 66. 77. 88. 99. 90. 12. 12. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 18. 18. 18. 18. 18. 18. 18. 18. 18	958 957 980 1,025 1,036 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,042 1,035 915 1,042 1,042 1,08 1,015 993 770 951 1,042 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08		14 15 12 16 16 17 13 13 13 13 13 15 13 14 12 13 13 11 14 15 15 15 15 11 14 11 12 13 14 11 14 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 17 13 13 14 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	VEX	<b>ABE</b>	R, 1	921.				50		1	0	(3
7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 6. 7. 8. 8. 99. 20. 21. 22. 22. 22. 23. 24. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 300.  Total.  Average	958 957 980 1,025 1,036 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,042 1,035 915 1,042 1,042 1,08 1,015 993 770 951 1,042 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08		14 15 12 16 16 17 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 12 13 14 15 15 15 13 14 11 12 14 12 13 14 11 15 15 15 16 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	VEX	ABE	R, 1	921.				50		1	0	(3
7 8 8 9 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	958 957 980 1,025 1,036 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,046 1,042 1,008 1,015 1,042 1,08 1,015 1,042 1,08 1,015 1,042 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,015 1,042 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,015 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08 1,08		14 15 12 16 16 17 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 12 13 14 15 15 15 13 14 11 12 14 12 13 14 11 15 15 15 16 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	VEX	ABE	R, 1	921.				50		1	0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 1.65 p. p. m. <sup>2</sup> Positive.

Report of operation of the Delaware Water Co. disinfection plant to the Ohio State
Department of Health—Continued.

#### DECEMBER, 1921.

		Pou	nds.		Par mi	ts po llion	er			В	acterial :	resul	ts.		
	Total water							20° ( 48 E		37 <b>24</b>	°C— Hrs.	P	resu B.	mptiv	re
Date.	treated (thou- sands		l	water.								В	ile-	Brotl	h.
	of gallons).			nre of								Ra	w.	Dis	inf.
		Hypo.	Liq. Cl.	Temperature of water.	Turbidity.	Color.	Iron.	Ŗaw.	Disinf.	Raw.	Disinf.	1. e. e.	10 с. с.	1. ¢. c.	10 с. с.
				• F.											
l	777		12			!									
2	878 976		14½ 15												
}	535 1,013		15											• • • • •	••••
j	1,013		141			!									
<u>i</u>	879 934		15 15												• • • • •
7	1,053		16	••••		;	• • • •				2		• • • • •		
9	901		15				• • • •								
Ò	944		15	•		!									
1 2	815 945		13 151	• • • •										• • • • •	
2	1,068		151												····
4	1,039		16								1			0	·····a
5	1.012		11			!									
6 7	1,141 997		14 12;												
1 8	962		101					¦							
9	867	1	11					1					1		
80	1,038		10												
21 12	1,140 930		10 10							·	0			0	
<u>2</u>	1,003		12									1	ļ		ļ
<b>A</b>	1,038		11	J					[	1		1			1::::
<b>5</b>	950		10												ļ
<u> 26</u>	1,112		13} 14											····	
24 28	1,048 1,063	1	114					· · · · ·	Į						ļ
9	954		12						1				1		1
0	986	1	12		1		ļ								
81	1,027		11							·			····		
Total	30,025		1 403								3	1		0/3	0,5
Average	963			ļ	ļ	ļ	<b> </b> -	.	ļ	.ļ	1	<b> </b>		ļ	<b></b>
Maximum	1, 140				·					-	2 0		·		
Minimum	. 535	1				1			1	•   • • • •	i v				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>1.6 p. p. m.

# OHIO LAW FOR ENFORCING CORRECTION OF STREAM POLLUTION AND IMPROVEMENT OF PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIES.

In 1908 the General Assembly of Ohio enacted a law commonly known as the Bense Act and codified as sections 1249 to 1261, inclusive, General Code of Ohio. This law was passed for the purpose of providing for correction of pollution of streams by sewage and other wastes from municipalities, institutions, industrial establishments, and other sources, and for the improvement of impure and unsafe public

water supplies of municipalities and public institutions. In 1919 this law was amended, and additional sections were enacted in 1921. The sections now read as follows:

Section 1249. Whenever the council or board of health, or the officer or officers performing the duties of a council or board of health, of a city or village, the commissioners of a county, the trustees of a township, or 50 of the qualified electors of any city, village, or township, or the managing officer or officers of a public institution set forth in writing to the State department of health that a city, village, public institution, corporation, partnership, or person is discharging or is permitting to be discharged sewage or other wastes into a stream, watercourse, canal, lake, or pond, and is thereby creating a public nuisance detrimental to health or comfort, or is polluting the source of any public water supply, the commissioner of health shall forthwith inquire into and investigate the conditions complained of.

SEC. 1250. If the commissioner of health finds that the discharge of sewage or other wastes from a city, village, or public institution, or by a corporation, partnership, or person, has so corrupted a stream, watercourse, canal, lake, or pond as to give rise to foul and noxious odors or to conditions detrimental to health or comfort, the source of public water supply of a city, village, community, or public institution is subject to contamination, or has been rendered impure by such discharge of sewage or other wastes, he shall notify the mayor or managing officer or officers of such city, village, public institution, or corporation, partnership, or person, of his findings and of the time and place when and where a hearing may be had before the public health council. The notice herein provided shall be by personal service or by registered letter.

SEC. 1251. After such hearing if the public health council shall determine that improvements or changes are necessary and should be made, the commissioner of health shall notify the mayor or managing officer or officers of such city, village, public institution, or corporation, partnership, or person, to install works or means, satisfactory to the commissioner of health, for purifying or otherwise disposing of such sewage or other wastes, or to change or enlarge existing works, in a manner satisfactory to the commissioner of health. Such works or means must be completed and put into operation within the time fixed in the order. The order of the commissioner of health and the time fixed for making the improvements or changes shall be approved by the public health council, and notification shall be had by personal service upon or by registered letter to the mayor or managing officer or officers of the city, village, public institution, or corporation, partnership, or person to whom said order shall apply. But no city or village discharging sewage into a river which separates the State of Ohio from another State shall be required to install sewage purification works so long as the unpurified sewage of cities or villages of another State is discharged into such river above such city or village of this State.

Sec. 1252. Whenever the board of health or officer or officers performing the duties of a board of health of a city or village or 10 per cent of the electors thereof or the managing officer or officers of a public institution shall file with the State department of health a complaint, in writing, setting forth that it is believed that the public water supply of such city or village or public institution is impure and dangerous to health, the State commissioner of health shall forthwith inquire into and investigate the conditions complained of.

SEC. 1253. If the commissioner of health finds that the public water supply of a city, village, or public institution is impure and dangerous to health and that it is not practicable to sufficiently improve the character of such supply by removing the source or sources of pollution affecting it, or if the commissioner of health finds that such water supply is being rendered impure and dangerous to health by reason of

<sup>1</sup> Words "or that" omitted in engrossing.

improper construction or inadequate size of existing water purification works, he shall notify such city, village, or public institution, corporation, partnership or person owning or operating such water supply or waterworks of his findings and of the time and place, when, and where a hearing may be had before the public health council. Such notice shall be by personal service or shall be sent by registered letter to the mayor or managing officer or officers of the city, village, public institution, or corporation, partnership, or person owning or operating such water supply or waterworks.

SEC. 1254. After such hearing, if the public health council shall determine that improvements or changes are necessary and should be made, the commissioner of health shall notify the mayor or managing officer or officers of the city, village, public institution, or corporation, partnership, or person owning or operating such water supply or waterworks to change the source of supply or to install and place in operation water purification works or device satisfactory to the commissioner of health, or to change or enlarge existing water purification works in a manner satisfactory to said commissioner. The order of the commissioner of health and the time fixed for making the improvements or changes shall be approved by the public health council and notification shall be had by personal service upon or by registered letter to the mayor or managing officer or officers of the city, village, public institution, or corporation, partnership, or person to whom said order shall apply.

SEC. 1252-4. When the commissioner of health finds upon investigation that a public water supply is subject to the danger of contamination by reason of unsatisfactory location, protection, construction, operation, or maintenance of the system, or by reason of the existence of an unsafe emergency supply or connection to an unsafe private or auxiliary supply, or if the commissioner of health finds upon investigation that the public health is endangered by reason of the existence of an inadequate public water supply or waterworks system, he shall notify the city, village, county, public institution, corporation, partnership, or person owning or operating such public water supply or waterworks system of his findings and of the time and place, when, and where a hearing may be had before the public health council. Such notice shall be by personal service, or shall be sent by registered letter to the mayor or managing officer or officers of the city, village, county, or public institution, or to the corporation, partnership, or person owning or operating such supply. Investigations made in accordance with this section may be at the initiative of the commissioner of health.

SEC. 1252-5. After such hearing, if the public health council shall determine that improvements or changes are necessary and should be made, the commissioner of health shall notify the mayor or managing officer or officers of the city, village, county, or public institution, or the corporation, partnership, or person owning or operating such water supply or waterworks system to make improvements, corrections, and changes in the location, protection, construction, operation, or maintenance of the water supply or waterworks system satisfactory to the commissioner of health, so as to prevent the contamination of the water supply or to provide a water supply not subject to the danger of contamination, or to provide a water supply and waterworks system adequate to avoid endangering the public health. The order of the commissioner of health and the time fixed for making the improvements or changes shall be improved by the public health council and the notification shall be made by personal service upon or by registered letter to the mayor or managing officer or officers of the city, village, county, or public institution, or to the officials, corporation, partnership, or person to whom said order shall apply. When such order is issued, subsequent procedures shall be in accordance with and governed by the provisions of sections 1257, 1258, 1258-1, 1258-2, 1258-3, 1258-4, 1258-5, 1258-6, 1258-7, 1258-8, 1259, 1259-1, 1260, and 1261 of the General Code.

SEC. 1255. When the commissioner of health finds upon investigation that any water-purification or sewage-treatment works, on account of incompetent supervision or inefficient operation, is not producing an effluent of such quality as might be reason-

ably obtained from such water-purification or sewage-treatment works, and by reason of such neglect the public water supply has become impure and dangerous to health, or that a stream, watercourse, canal, lake, pond, or body of water has become offensively polluted or has become a public nuisance or that a public water supply taken from such stream, watercourse, canal, lake, pond, or body of water has been rendered impure and dangerous to health, the commissioner of health shall issue an order to the mayor or managing officer or officers of the city, village, public institution, or corporation, partnership, or person having charge of or owning such water-purification or sewage-treatment works to secure an effluent of such quality as might be reasonably expected from such works and satisfactory to the commissioner of health.

SEC. 1256. If the managing officer or officers of such city, village, public institution, or corporation, partnership, or person fails, for a period of five days after receiving such order, to secure an effluent satisfactory to the commissioner of health, the commissioner of health shall report the fact to the public-health council and upon its approval may order such managing officer or officers or person owning such works to appoint within 10 days, and pay the salary of a competent person to be approved by the commissioner of health, to take charge of and operate such works as to secure the results demanded by the commissioner of health.

SEC. 1257. If the findings or order of the commissioner of health, when approved by the public-health council and made in pursuance of the provisions of this chapter relating to stream pollution and public water supply, are not acceptable to any city: village, public institution, corporation, or owner effected [affected] thereby, such city. village, public institution, corporation, or owner shall have the right of appeal as follows: Two reputable and experienced sanitary engineers shall be chosen, one by the city, village, public institution, corporation, or owner and the other by the commissioner of health, who shall not be a regular employee of the State department of health. Such persons shall act as referees. If the referees so chosen are unable to agree, they shall choose a third engineer of like standing, and the vote of the majority shall be final. As soon as such referees are chosen, the commissioner of health shall file with them a certified copy of the complaint and the findings and order of the State department of health, and it shall be the duty of such referees to investigate the conditions complained of and to determine if such findings are correct and if the order provides a proper remedy for such conditions. The appeal provided for in this section shall be made within 30 days from the date of service of the order upon the mayor or managing officer or officers of the city, village, public institution, or corporation or owner, and notice thereof in writing shall be served upon the commissioner of health by personal service for which there shall be acknowledgment, or sent by registered letter.

SEC. 1258. Such referees may affirm or reject the findings or order of the commissioner of health or may modify such order as to the time within which improvements or changes shall be made, and their decision, which must be in writing, and be made within a reasonable time, shall be reported to the commissioner of health and to the city, village, public institution, corporation, or owner and shall be final except as hereinafter provided. If said findings and order shall be approved or modified by said referees, the order shall be enforced by the commissioner of health in the manner provided for in this chapter. The fees and expenses of the referee appointed by the commissioner of health shall be paid from funds appropriated to the State department of health for such purpose. The fees and expenses of the referee appointed by the city, village, public institution, corporation, or owner shall be paid by the city, village, public institution, corporation, or owner making such appeal. The fees and expenses of the third referee shall be equally divided between the State department of health and the city, village, public institution, corporation, or owner making appeal.

Sec. 1258-1. Where an order of the commissioner of health to a corporation, partnership, or person owning and operating a waterworks is approved or modified by the referees provided for in sections 1257 and 1258 of the General Code, or if such corpora-

tion, partnership, or person shall accept such order without appeal to such referee, and it shall be claimed by such corporation, partnership, or person that the revenues derived from the operation of such waterworks are not sufficient to warrant the expense of making the improvements or changes so ordered, an application may be made to the public utilities commission of Ohio for authority to make and collect additional charges from the water consumers and users of the utility's service. Upon the filing' of such application the commission shall fix a time for the hearing thereof and give notice thereof to the mayor of the municipality and the State commissioner of health, and if upon hearing the public utilities commission shall determine and find that the rates theretofore authorized to be charged will not provide revenue sufficient to operate said waterworks and make a reasonable return upon the investment after such improvements and changes are made, it shall by order authorize the collection of such additional charges and compensation as may under all the circumstances be just and reasonable.

SEC. 1258-2. An order as made by the commissioner of health or as approved or modified by the referees as herein provided shall be reversed, vacated, or modified by the supreme court on a petition of error if upon consideration of the record such court is of the opinion that such order was unlawful and unreasonable.

SEC. 1258-3. The proceeding to obtain such reversal, vacation, or modification shall be by petition in error, filed in the supreme court by the municipal corporation, managing board, or officer of a public institution, corporation, partnership, or person to which such order of the commissioner of health shall apply, setting forth the errors complained of; thereupon, unless the same is duly waived, a summons shall issue and be served; as in other cases, upon the commissioner of health, or in his absence by leaving a copy at his office at the city of Columbus.

SEC. 1258-4. Upon service or waiver of summons in error the commissioner of health shall forthwith transmit to the clerk of the supreme court a transcript of his journal entries, original papers or transcripts thereof, and a certified copy of all evidence adduced upon the hearing before the public-health council in the proceeding complained of, which shall be filed in said court.

SEC. 1258-5. No proceeding to reverse, vacate, or modify an order of the commissioner of health shall be deemed commenced unless the petition therefor is filed within 30 days after service of the order upon the mayor or managing officer or officers of the municipal corporation, public institution, or corporation, partnership, or person to whom such order shall apply. Or if there has been an appeal to referees then such petition shall be filed within two weeks after the determination of such appeal and due notice thereof. A proceeding to reverse, vacate, or modify an order of the commissioner of health shall operate to stay execution thereof until the supreme court shall render a decision thereon.

SEC. 1258-6. No court other than the supreme court shall have the power to review, suspend, or delay any order of the commissioner of health, or enjoin, restrain, or interfere with the commissioner of health or public-health council in the performance of official duties required or power exercised under the provisions of this act.

SEC. 1258-7. All orders heretofore issued or promulgated by the State board of health or by the State department of health shall continue in full force and have the same effect as though thay had been lawfully made, issued, or promulgated under the provisions of this act.

SEC. 1258-8. Each section of this act and every part thereof is hereby declared to be an independent section, and part of a section, and the holding of a section or part of a section thereof to be void or ineffective for any cause shall not be deemed to affect any other section or part thereof.

SEC. 1259. Each municipal council, department, or officer having jurisdiction to provide for the raising of revenues by tax levies, sale of bonds, or otherwise shall take

all steps necessary to secure the funds for any such purpose or purposes. When the funds are so secured, or the bonds therefor have been authorized by the proper municipal authority, such funds shall be considered as in the treasury and appropriated for such particular purpose or purposes, and shall not be used for any other purpose. The bonds authorized to be issued for any such purpose or purposes shall not exceed 3 per cent of the total value of all property in any city or village, as listed and assessed for taxation, and may be in addition to the total bonded indebtedness of such city or village otherwise permitted by law. The question of the issuance of such bonds shall not be required to be submitted to a vote of the electors.

SEC. 1259-1. Interest and sinking-fund levies on account of bonds issued under section 1259 of the General Code, in compliance with orders of the State commissioner of health, shall be exempt from all the limitations on tax levies provided by sections 5649-2 and 5649-3a of the General Code. Such levies shall also be exempt from the limitation provided by section 5649-5b of the General Code, if the question of making such additional levy shall be submitted to the electors of the municipality issuing, or proceeding to issue, such bonds in the manner provided in sections 5649-5 and 5649-5a of the General Code, and the same is approved by a majority of the electors voting on such question; and the proper legislative authorities of any such municipal corporation are hereby authorized to submit such question in the manner provided in said sections of the General Code at any regular election or at a special election. The number of years for which such levy shall be authorized shall not be required to be printed on the ballot, and the approval of the electors shall constitute sufficient authority for the making of such additional levy annually, during the time for which the bonds are to to run, or until the same are redeemed, or the redemption thereof with interest is fully provided for.

SEC. 1260. If a council, department, or officer of a municipality, or person, partnership, or private corporation fails or refuses for a period of 30 days, after notice given him or them by the commissioner of health of his findings and order and the approval thereof by the public-health council, to perform any act or acts required of him or them by this chapter relating to stream pollution and public water supply, the members of such council or department, or such officer or officers, person, partnership, or private corporation shall be personally liable for such default, and shall forfeit and pay to the State of Ohio \$500, to be paid into the State treasury to the credit of the general revenue fund.

SEC. 1261. An action may be begun for the recovery of such penalty by the prosecuting attorney of a county in the name of the State in the court of common pleas of such county having jurisdiction of any such party or parties, or it may be begun by the attorney general in such county or the county of Franklin, as provided by law. The court of common pleas, upon good cause shown, may, at its discretion, remit such penalty or any part thereof.

#### DECISIONS OF UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT CONSTRU-ING HARRISON NARCOTIC ACT.

The following are decisions of the United States Supreme Court construing the Harrison Narcotic Act:

Mr. Chief Justice TAFT delivered the opinion 1 of the court:

This is a writ of error to the district court under the criminal appeals act of March 2, 1907 (34 Stat. 1246). Defendants in error were indicted for a violation of the narcotic act of December 17, 1914 (38 Stat. 786). The indictment charged them with unlawfully selling to another a certain amount of a derivative of opium and a certain amount of a derivative of coca leaves, not in pursuance of any written order on a form issued in blank for that purpose by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, contrary to the provisions of section 2 of the act. The defendants demurred to the indictment on the ground that it failed to charge that they had sold the inhibited drugs knowing

<sup>1</sup> United States v. Balint et al.

them to be such. The statute does not make such knowledge an element of the offense. The district court sustained the demurrer and quashed the indictment. The correctness of this ruling is the question before us.

While the general rule at common law was that the scienter was a necessary element in the indictment and proof of every crime, and this was followed in regard to statutory crimes, even where the statutory definition did not in terms include it (Rex v. Sleep, 8 Cox, 472), there has been a modification of this view in respect to prosecutions under statutes the purpose of which would be obstructed by such requirement. It is a question of legislative intent to be construed by the court. It has been obiected that punishment of a person for an act in violation of law when ignorant of the facts making it so is an absence of due process of law. But that objection is considered and overruled in Shevlin-Carpenter Co. v. Minnesota (218 U. S. 57, 69, 70), in which it was held that in the prohibition or punishment of particular acts the State may in the maintenance of a public policy provide "that he who shall do them shall do them at his peril and will not be heard to plead in defense good faith or ignorance." Many instances of this are to be found in regulatory measures in the exercise of what is called the police power where the emphasis of the statute is evidently upon achievement of some social betterment rather than the punishment of the crimes, as in the cases of mala in se.—Commonwealth v. Mixer (207 Mass. 141); Commonwealth v. Smith (166 Mass. 370); Commonwealth v. Hallett (103 Mass. 452); People v. Kibler (106 N. Y. 321); State v. Kinkead (57 Conn. 173); McCutcheon v. People (79 Ill. 601); State v. Thompson (74 Iowa, 119); United States v. Leathers (1 Sawy. 1); United States v. Thompson (12 Fed. 245); United States v. Mayfield (177 Fed. 765); United States v. Thirty-six Bottles of Gin (210 Fed. 271); Feeley v. United States (236 Fed. 903); Toves v. United States (249 Fed. 191). So, too, in the collection of taxes the importance to the public of their collection leads the legislature to impose on the taxpayer the burden of finding out the facts upon which his liability to pay depends and meeting it at the peril of punishment.—Regina v. Woodrow (15 M, & W. 404); Bruhn v. Rex (1909 A. C. 317). Again, where one deals with others and his mere negligence may be dangerous to them, as in selling diseased food or poison, the policy of the law may, in order to stimulate proper care, require the punishment of the negligent person, though he be ignorant of the noxious character of what he sells.—Hobbs v. Winchester Corporation (2 K. B. Div. 471, 483).

The question before us, therefore, is one of the construction of the statute and of inference of the intent of Congress. The narcotic act has been held by this court, to be a taxing act with the incidental purpose of minimizing the spread of addiction to the use of poisonous and demoralizing drugs.—United States v. Doremus (249 U. S. 86, 94); United States v. Jin Fuey Moy (241 U. S. 86, 94).

Section 2 of the narcotic act (38 Stat. 786) we give in part in the margin.<sup>1</sup> It is very evident from a reading of it that the emphasis of the section is in securing a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Part of sec. 2 of an act entitled "An act to provide for the registration of, with collectors of internal revenue, and to impose a special tax upon all persons who produce, import, manufacture, compound, deal in, dispense, sell, distribute, or give away opium or coca leaves, their salts, derivatives, or preparations, and for other purposes," approved Dec. 17, 1914 (38 Stat. 785, 786).

SEC. 2. That it shall be unlawful for any person to sell, barter, exchange, or give away any of the aforesaid drugs except in pursuance of a written order of the person to whom such article is sold, bartered,
exchanged, or given, on a form to be issued in blank for that purpose by the Commissioner of Internal
Revenue. Every person who shall accept any such order and in pursuance thereof shall sell, barter, exchange, or give away any of the aforesaid drugs shall preserve such order for a period of two years in such
a way as to be readily accessible to inspection by any officer, agent, or employee of the Treasury Department duly authorized for that purpose, and the State, Territorial, District, municipal, and insular officials
named in section 5 of this act. Every person who shall give an order as herein provided to any other person for any of the aforesaid drugs shall, at or before the time of giving such order, make or cause to be made
aduplicate thereof on a form to be issued in blank for that purpose by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue
and in case of the acceptance of such order shall preserve such duplicate for said period of two years in
such a way as to be readily accessible to inspection by the officers, agents, employees, and officials hereinbefore mentioned.

close supervision of the business of dealing in these dangerous drugs by the taxing officers of the Government and that it merely uses a criminal penalty to secure recorded evidence of the disposition of such drugs as a means of taxing and restraining the traffic. Its manifest purpose is to require every person dealing in drugs to ascertain at his peril whether that which he sells comes within the inhibition of the statute, and if he sells the inhibited drug in ignorance of its character, to penalize him. Congress weighed the possible injustice of subjecting an innocent seller to a penalty against the evil of exposing innocent purchasers to danger from the drug, and concluded that the latter was the result preferably to be avoided. Doubtless considerations as to the opportunity of the seller to find out the fact and the difficulty of proof of knowledge contributed to this conclusion. We think the demurrer to the indictment should have been overruled.

Judgment reversed.

Mr. Justice CLARKE took no part in this decision.

Mr. Justice Day delivered the opinion1 of the court:

This case is here under the criminal appeals act (34 Stat. 1246). The statute involved is the narcotic drug act of December 17, 1914 (ch. 1, sec. 2 (a); 38 Stat. 785, 786).

This statute in section 2, subdivision (a), makes it an offense to sell, barter, exchange, or give away any of the narcotic drugs named in the act except in pursuance of a written order of the person to whom such article is sold, bartered, exchanged, or given, on a form to be issued in blank for that purpose by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue. It is further provided that nothing in the section shall apply to the dispensing or distribution of any of the drugs to a patient of a registered physician in the course of his professional practice only, or to the sale, dispensing, or distribution of said drugs by a dealer to a consumer in pursuance of a written prescription issued by a physician registered under the act.

The indictment charges that the defendant did unlawfully sell, barter, and give to Willie King a compound, manufacture, and derivative of opium, to wit, 150 grains of heroin and 360 grains of morphine, and a compound, manufacture, and derivative of coca leaves, to wit, 210 grains of cocaine, not in purusance of any written order of King on a form issued for that purpose by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue of the United States; that the defendant was a duly licensed physician and registered under the act, and issued three written orders to the said King in the form of prescriptions signed by kim, which prescriptions called for the delivery to King of the amount of drugs above described; that the defendant intended that King should obtain the drugs from the druggist upon the said orders; that King did obtain upon said orders drugs of the amount and kind above described pursuant to the said prescriptions; that King was a person addicted to the habitual use of morphine, heroin, and cocaine, and known by the defendant to be so addicted; that King did not require the administration of either morphine, heroin, or cocaine by reason of any disease other than such addiction; that defendant did not dispense any of the drugs for the purpose of treating any disease or condition other than such addiction; that none of the drugs so dispensed by the defendant was administered to or intended by the defendant to be administered to King by the defendant or any nurse, or person acting under the direction of the defendant; nor were any of the drugs consumed or intended to be consumed by King in the presence of the defendant, but that all of the drugs were put in the possession or control of King with the intention on the part of the defendant that King would use the same by self-administration in divided doses over a period of several days, the amount of each of said drugs dispensed being more

than sufficient or necessary to satisfy the craving of King therefor if consumed by him all at one time; that King was not in any way restrained or prevented from disposing of the drugs in any manner he saw fit; and that the drugs so dispensed by the defendant were in the form in which said drugs are usually consumed by persons addicted to the habitual use thereof to satisfy their craving therefor, and were adapted for such consumption.

The question is: Do the acts charged in this indictment constitute an offense within the meaning of the statute? As we have seen, the statute contains an exception to the effect that it shall not apply to the dispensing or distribution of such drugs to a patient by a registered physician in the course of his professional practice only, nor to the sale, dispensing, or distribution of the drugs by a dealer to a consumer under a written prescription by a registered physician. The rule applicable to such statutes is that it is enough to charge facts sufficient to show that the accused is not within the exception.—United States v. Cook (17 Wall. 168, 173).

The district judge who heard this case was of the opinion that prescriptions in the regular course of practice did not include the indiscriminate doling out of narcotics in such quantity to addicts as charged in the indictment, but out of deference to what he deemed to be the view of a local district judge in another case announced his willingness to follow such opinion until the question could be passed upon by this court, and sustained the demurrer. In our opinion the district judge who heard the case was right in his conclusion and should have overruled the demurrer

Former decisions of this court have held that the purpose of the exception is to confine the distribution of these drugs to the regular and lawful course of professional practice, and that not everything called a prescription is necessarily such.—Webb v. United States (249 U. S. 96); Jin Fuey Moy v. United States (254 U. S. 189). Of this phase of the act this court said in the Jin Fuey Moy case, page 194:

Manifestly the phrases "to a patient" and "in the course of his professional practice only" are intended to confine the immunity of a registered physician, in dispensing the narcotic drugs mentioned in the act, strictly within the appropriate bounds of a physician's professional practice, and not to extend it to include a sale by a dealer or a distribution intended to cater to the appetite or satisfy the craving of one addicted to the use of the drug. A "prescription" issued for either of the latter purposes protects neither the physician who issues it nor the dealer who knowingly accepts and fills it.—Webb v. United States (249 U. S. 96).

It is enough to sustain an indictment that the offense be described with sufficient dearness to show a violation of law and to enable the accused to know the nature and cause of the accusation and to plead the judgment, if one be rendered, in bar of further prosecution for the same offense. If the offense be a statutory one, and intent or knowledge is not made an element of it, the indictment need not charge such knowledge or intent.—United States v. Smith (2 Mason, 143); United States v. Miller (Fed. Cas. 15775); United States v. Jacoby (Fed. Cas. 15462); United States v. Ulrici (Fed. Cas. 16594) [opinion by Miller, circuit justice]; United States v. Bayaud (16 Fed. 376, 383-4); United States v. Jackson (25 Fed. 548, 550); United States v. Guthrie (171 Fed. 528, 531); United States v. Balint and Randazzo, this day decided, ante, p.——).

It may be admitted that to prescribe a single dose or even a number of doses may not bring a physician within the penalties of the act; but what is here charged is that the defendant physician by means of prescriptions has enabled one, known by him to be an addict, to obtain from a pharmacist the enormous number of doses contained in 150 grains of heroin, 360 grains of morphine, and 210 grains of cocaine. As shown by Wood's United States Dispensatory, a standard work in general use, the ordinary dose of morphine is one-fifth of a grain, of cocaine one-eighth to one-fourth of a grain, of heroin one-sixteenth to one-eighth of a grain. By these standards more than 3,000 ordinary doses were placed in the control of King. Undoubtedly doses may be varied to suit different cases as determined by the judgment of a phy-

sician. But the quantities named in the indictment are charged to have been intrusted to a person known by the phsycian to be an addict without restraint upon him in its administration or disposition by anything more than his own weakened and perverted will. Such so-called prescriptions could only result in the gratification of a diseased appetite for these pernicious drugs or result in an unlawful parting with them to others in violation of the act as heretofore interpreted in this court within the principles laid down in the Webb and Jin Fuey Moy cases, supra.

We hold that the acts charged in the indictment constituted an offense within the terms and meaning of the act. The judgment of the District Court to the contrary should be reversed.

Mr. Justice Holmes, Mr. Justice McReynolds, and Mr. Justice Branders, dissenting.

#### DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED JULY 29, 1922.

Summary of information received by telegraph from industrial insurance companies for week ended July 29, 1922, and corresponding week, 1921. (From the Weekly Health Index, August 1, 1922, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.)

	Week ended July 29, 1922.	Corresponding week, 1921.
Policies in force	49, 733, 524	47, 262, 257
Number of death claims	7, 533	7, 261
Death claims per 1,000 policies in force, annual rate	7.9	8.0

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended July 29, 1922, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of 1921. (From the Weekly Health Index, August 1, 1922, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.)

	Estimated	Week July 29		Annual death rate per		ns under year.	Infant mor- tality
City.	population July 1, 1922.	Total deaths.	Death rate.1	1,000, corre- sponding week, 1921.	Week ended July 29, 1922.	Corresponding week, 1921.	rate, week ended July 29, 1922.
Total	27,860,666	5,529	10.3	11.3	881	1,035	
Akron, Ohio.  Akron, Ohio.  Albany, N. Y.  Atlanta, Ga.  Baltimore, Md.  Birmingham, Ala.  Boston, Mass.  Bridgeport, Conn.  Buffalo, N. Y.  Cambridge, Mass.  Camden, N. J.  Chicago, Ill.  Chicimati, Ohio.  Cleveland, Ohio.  Columbus, Ohio.  Dallas, Tex.  Dayton, Ohio.  Denver, Colo.  Detroit, Mich.  Fall River, Mass.  Forth Worth, Tex.  Grand Rapids, Mich.  Houston, Tex.	3 208, 435 116, 223 220, 047 762, 222 191, 017 764, 017 3 143, 555 528, 163 110, 944 121, 915 2, 933, 288 404, 865 854, 003 253, 455 171, 974 161, 824 267, 591 1993, 678 120, 790 114, 717 143, 572	19 25 64 212 41 152 33 110 31 22 30 121 494 183 494 183 496 183 496 183 496 30 54 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65	10.3 4.8 11.2 15.2 14.5 11.2 10.4 12.0 10.9 14.6 9.8 9.1 12.9 7.4 10.1 9.7 10.5 9.8 11.3 12.7 10.5 11.2 10.6 11.2 10.6 11.2 10.6 11.2 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.6	11.3 4.3 12.7 15.8 13.5 16.8 14.0 10.7 13.2 7.4 10.2 10.7 11.7 12.3 9.9 10.7 12.3 9.9 14.3 10.8	51 10 63 3 23 23 5 5 21 5 0 70 6 6 19 4 4 8 8 5 1	1,035  4 2 15 37 12 29 4 27 24 92 20 24 9 7 51 9	53 22 177 62 62 83 91 0 40 49 42 119 65 112 17
Indianapolis, Ind. Jersey City, N. J. Kansas City, Kans. Kansas City, Mo. Los Angeles, Calif Louisville, Ky. Lowell, Mass.	333,257 305,911 113,801 343,988 634,866 236,877	90 54 29 90 158 52 30	14. 1 9. 2 13. 3 13. 6 13. 0 11. 4 13. 7	10.4 12.9 11.0 15.2 10.6 16.6 15.6	15 14 5 16 20 7	28 3 22 18 18 11	83 76 118

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended July 29, 1922, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of 1921. (From the Weekly Health Index, August 1, 1922, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.)—Continued.

	Fatimated	Week July 29		Annual death rate per		s under year.	Infant mor- tality
City.	Estimated population July 1, 1922.	Total deaths.	Death rate.1	1,000, corre- sponding week, 1921.	Week ended July 29, 1922.	Corresponding week, 1921.	rate, week ended July 29, 1922.3
Memphis, Tenn Milwaukee, Wis. Minneapolis, Minn Nashville, Tenn New Bedford, Mass. New Haven, Conn New Orleans, La New York, N Norlolk, Va Oakland, Calif Omaha, Nebr Paterson, N. J Philadelphia, Pa Pittsburgh, Pa Portland, Oreg Providence, R. I Richmond, Va Rochester, N. Y St. Louis, Mo St. Paul, Minn Salt Lake City, Utah San Antonio, Tex San Francisco, Calif Seattle, Wash Sopkane, Wash Sopkane, Wash Sopkane, Wash Sopkane, Wash Sopkane, Wash Sopkane, Wash Toledo, Ohio Trenton, N. J Washington, D. C. Wilmington, D. C. Wilmington, D. C. Worcester, Mass Yonkers, N. Y Vy Voungstown, Ohio	476, 603 400, 970 122, 832 127, 542 189, 987 399, 616 5, 839, 746 431, 792 200, 739 138, 521 1, 894, 500 607, 902 241, 011 178, 365 311, 548 795, 008 239, 346 123, 918 178, 556 529, 792 315, 312 104, 445 140, 652 240, 717 125, 075 3437, 571 115, 568 188, 449 105, 422	59 775 64 42 177 28 130 978 82 29 42 52 52 33 376 142 49 51 47 64 48 30 66 6 10 15 49 20 49 49 51 40 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	18.3 8.2 8.3 17.8 7.0 8.6 17.0 8.7 9.9 12.1 10.3 10.3 10.2 9.5 11.0 7 10.4 10.4 10.4 10.4 10.4 10.4 10.8 10.8 10.8 10.8 10.8 10.8 10.8 10.8	18. 6 9.0 10. 1 18. 8 10. 8 13. 4 13. 9 11. 1 11. 3 11. 2 10. 8 9. 5 12. 1 1. 2 7. 3 10. 2 12. 3 11. 4 8. 6 12. 9 11. 3 11. 4 8. 6 12. 9 10. 8 8. 5 10. 8 11. 3 11. 4 8. 6 11. 3 11. 4 8. 6 11. 5 11.	12 14 55 7 3 3 10 167 8 8 5 62 29 9 1 1 4 4 10 11 17 7 6 15 4 4 4 4 6 7 20 4 4 4 4 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	3 6 7 13 2 8	59 107 115 78 98

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Annual rate per 1,000 population.

<sup>2</sup> Deaths under 1 year per 1,000 births—an annual rate based on deaths under 1 year for the week and estimated births for 1921. Cities left blank are not in the registration area for births.

<sup>2</sup> Enumerated population Jan. 1, 1920.

### PREVALENCE OF DISEASE.

No health department, State or local, can effectively prevent or control disease without knowledge of when, where, and under what conditions cases are occurring.

### UNITED STATES.

#### CURRENT STATE SUMMARIES.

#### Telegraphic Reports for Week Ended August 5, 1922.

These reports are preliminary, and the figures are subject to change when later returns are received by the State health officers.

ALABAMA.	1	COLORADO.	
Cas	1	(Exclusive of Denver.)	1982
Cerebrospinal meningitis	1	Chicken pox.	1
Diphtheria	45	Diphtheria	
Hookworm disease.	60	Measles	
Malaria	31	Mumps	
Peliagra	11	Pneumonia	
Poliomyelitis	1	Scarlet fever.	
Scarlet fever	9	Smallpox.	
Smallpox	3	Tuberculosis.	
Tetanus	1	Typhoid fever	
Tuberculosis	14	T J PHOTO TO TOUR TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	-
Typhoid fever	67	DELAWARE.	
Whooping cough	3	Diphtheria	
		Malaria	. 3
arkansas.		Tuberculosis	
Chicken pox	4	Typhoid fever	. 6
	1	PLORIDA.	
Diphtheria		Dengue	269
	7	Diphtheria	
Measles	12	Influenza.	. 140 . 56
Pellagra		Malaria	
Poliomyelitis	2	Pneumonia	
Scarlet fever	-	Scarlet fever.	
Smallpox	2		
Tuberculosis	30	Smallpox Typhoid fever	
Typhoid fever	31	Typnoid lever	
Whooping cough	26	GEORGIA.	
CALIFORNIA.		Chicken pox	. 4
		Diphtheria	. 18
Cerebrospinal meningitis—Los Angeles	1	Dysentery (bacillary)	. 1
Diphtheria	83	Hookworm disease	
Influenza	3	Influenza	. 28
Lethargic encephalitis:		Malaria	. 61
San Francisco	1	Paratyphoid fever	. 1
Santa Paula	1	Pellagra	. 1
Measles	5	Pneumonia	. 4
'Poliomyelitis—San Francisco	1	Scarlet fever.	6
Scarlet fever	28	Septic sore throat	
Smallpox:		Smallpox	
Glendale	11	Tuberculosis (pulmonary)	
Scattering	19	Typhoid fever	
Typhoid fever	12	Whooping cough	

(1956)

ILLINOIS.	- 1	MARYLAND—continued.	
Diphtheria: Ca Cook County (including Chicago)	ses.		ses.
Chicago	74	Dysentery	26
Kane County	9	Malaria	2 20
Scattering	96	Measles	39
Influenza.	12	Mumps	22
Pneumonia	117	Paratyphoid fever	-6
Poliomyelitis:		Pneumonia (all forms)	17
Boone County	1	Scarlet fever	10
Clay County	1	Tetanus	1
Scarlet fever:		Tubereulosis	44
Cook County (including Chicago)	22	Typhoid fever	48
Chicago	15 9	Whooping cough.	39
Henry County Winnebago County	12	M A OR A CHILLION THE	
Scattering.	66	MASSACHUSETTS.	_
8mallpox.		Chicken pox.	9
Typhoid fever	63	Conjunctivitis (suppurative). Diphtheria.	
Whooping cough		Dysentery	98 3
		German measles	1
INDIANA.		Hookworm disease.	2
Diphtheris		Influenza	3
Rabies in animals—Montgomery County		Malaria	1
Scarlet fever		Measles	111
Smallpox Typhoid fever	7	Mumps.	23
Typnoid level	28	Ophthalmia neonatorum.	12
IOWA.		Pneumonia (lobar)	22
Cerebrospinal meningitis	2	Scarlet fever.	
Diphtheria		Septic sore throat	5
Scarlet fever		Tetanus	
Smallpox		Tuberculosis (all forms)	118
Typhoid fever		Typhoid fever	20
Kansas.		Whooping cough	144
	_	MINNESOTA.	
Chicken por		Chicken pox.	2
Chicken pox		Diphtheria	
German measles.		Measles	
Influenza.		Pneumonia	
Measles	4	Poliomyelitis	. 1
Mumps	. 5	Scarlet fever	
Pneumonia		Smallpox	
Poliomyelitis		Tuberculosis	
Scarlet fever		Typhoid fever	
Smallpox		Whooping cought.	
Tetanus		Mississirpi.	
Typhoid fever		Diphtheria	. 33
Whooping cough		Poliemyelitis	
LOUISIANA.		Scarlet fever	
		Typhoid fever	. 54
Diphtheria Malaria		MISSOURI.	
Pellagra		Chicken pox	. 4
Poliomyelitis		Diphtheria	
Scarlet fever.	3	Epidemic sore throat	. 1
Smallpox	. 3	Measles	
Typhoid fever	26	Mumps	
Whooping cough.	12	Pneumonia	
MARYLAND.1		Scarlet fever	
	_	Smallpox	
Cerebrospinal meningitis.		Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever.	
Chicken pox Diphtheria		Whooping cough	
<sup>1</sup> Week ended Friday.	. 10	oping congu	. 10
- week ended Friday.			

MONTANA.	1	OREGON.	
9-11	ses.	Chicken	
Diphtheria	4	Chicken pox	2
Poliomyelitis	4	Diphtheria	10
Smallpox.	1	Pneumonia.	2
Typhoid fever	3	Septic sore throat	1
· ·	_	G	10
NEBRASKA.	2	Tetanus	
Chicken pox	7	Tuberculosis	13
Measles	3	Typhoid fever	9
Mumps	2	Whooping cough	9
Poliomyelitis—Merriman	1	SOUTH DAKOTA.	
Scarlet fever.	12	Anthrax	
Smallpox	3	Cerebrospinal meningitis	1
Tuberculosis	22	Chicken pox.	i
Typhoid fever	3	Diphtheria	2
Whooping cough	10	Measles	2
NEW JERSEY.		Pneumonia	1
Cerebrospinal meningitis	3	Scarlet fever	7
Chicken pox	9	Smallpox	2
Diphtheria	82	Tetanus	1
Influenza	1	Tuberculosis	6
Malaria	5	Typhoid fever	1
Measles		whoping cough	•
Pneumonia	29	TEXAS.	
Poliomyelitis	8 33	Diphtheria	46
Trachoma		Pneumonia	5
Typhoid fever.		Scarlet fever	
Whooping cough		Tuberculosis	
		Typhoid fever	
NEW MEXICO. Chicken pox	_	Typhus fever—Palestine	•1
		WASHINGTON.	
Diphtheria	20	1	11
	20 4	Chicken pox	4
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever	20 4 1 3	Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles.	4 2
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis	20 4 1 3 15	Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Mumps.	4 2 12
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever	20 4 1 3 15 7	Chicken pox Diphtheria Measles. Mumps. Scarlet fever.	4 2 12 6
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis	20 4 1 3 15 7	Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox.	4 2 12
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever	20 4 1 3 15 7	Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox Tuberculosis	4 2 12 6 4 25
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough	20 4 1 3 15 7	Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox.	4 2 12 6
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough	20 4 1 3 15 7 1	Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever. Whooping cough.	4 2 12 6 4 25 16
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever. Whooping cough NEW YORK. (Exclusive of New York City.)	20 4 1 3 15 7 1	Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox Tuberculosis Typhoid fever. Whooping cough. WEST VIRGINIA.	4 2 12 6 4 25 16 23
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Searlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NEW YORK.  (Exclusive of New York City.) Cerebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria In Juenza.	20 4 1 3 15 7 1 1 98 19	Chicken pox.  Diphtheria  Measles.  Mumps.  Scarlet fever.  Smallpox  Tuberculosis  Typhoid fever.  Whooping cough.  WEST VIRGINIA.  Diphtheria.	4 2 12 6 4 25 16 23
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  NEW YORK. (Exclusive of New York City.) Cerebrospinal meningitis. Diphtheria In Juenza. Measles.	20 4 1 3 15 7 1 1 98 19	Chicken pox.  Diphtheria  Measles.  Mumps. Scarlet fever.  Smallpox.  Tuberculosis  Typhoid fever.  Whooping cough  WEST VIRGINIA.  Diphtheria Scarlet fever.	4 2 12 6 4 25 16 23
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NEW YORK.  (Exclusive of New York City.) Cerebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria In lucus Measles Pneumonia.	20 4 1 3 15 7 1 1 98 19 152 57	Chicken pox.  Diphtheria  Measles.  Mumps. Scarlet fever.  Smallpox.  Tuberculosis  Typhoid fever.  Whooping cough  WEST VIRGINIA.  Diphtheria Scarlet fever.  Typhoid fever:	4 2 12 6 4 25 16 23
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NEW YORK.  (Exclusive of New York City.) Cerebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria In Juenza Measles Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis.	20 4 1 3 15 7 1 1 98 19 152 57	Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  WEST VIRGINIA. Diphtheria Scarlet fever. Typhoid fever: Huntington	4 2 12 6 4 25 16 23 5 6
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NEW YORK.  (Exclusive of New York City.) Cerebrospinal meningitis. Diphtheria In luenza. Measles Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis. Scarlet fever.	20 4 1 3 15 7 1 1 98 19 152 57 2	Chicken pox.  Diphtheria  Measles.  Mumps. Scarlet fever.  Smallpox.  Tuberculosis.  Typhoid fever.  Whooping cough  WEST VIRGINIA.  Diphtheria Scarlet fever.  Typhoid fever:  Huntington Scattering.	4 2 12 6 4 25 16 23 5 6
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NEW YORK.  (Exclusive of New York City.) Cerebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria In luenza Measles. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Smallpox.	20 4 1 3 15 7 1 98 19 152 57 2 76	Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  WEST VIRGINIA. Diphtheria Scarlet fever. Typhoid fever: Huntington	4 2 12 6 4 25 16 23 5 6
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  NEW YORK.  (Exclusive of New York City.) Cerebrospinal meningitis. Diphtheria In Juenza. Measles. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever.	20 4 1 3 15 7 1 1 98 19 152 57 2 76 1	Chicken pox.  Diphtheria  Measles.  Mumps.  Scarlet fever.  Smallpox.  Tuberculosis  Typhoid fever.  Whooping cough.  West Virginia  Scarlet fever.  Typhoid fever.  Huntington  Scattering  Wisconsin.	2 12 6 4 25 16 23 5 6
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NEW YORK.  (Exclusive of New York City.) Cerebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria In luenza Measles. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever Smallpox. Typhoid fever Whooping cough	20 4 1 3 15 7 1 1 98 19 152 57 2 76 1	Chicken pox.  Diphtheria  Measles.  Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox.  Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  WEST VIRGINIA.  Diphtheria Scarlet fever. Typhoid fever: Huntington Scattering.  WISCONSIN.  Milwaukee: Chicken pox. Diphtheria.	12 12 6 4 25 16 23 5 6 8 15
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NEW YORK.  (Exclusive of New York City.) Cerebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria In luenza. Measles Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever Whooping cough	20 4 1 3 15 7 1 1 98 19 152 2 76 1 47 250	Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  WEST VIRGINIA  Diphtheria Scarlet fever. Typhoid fever: Huntington Scattering WISCONSIN. Milwaukce: Chicken pox. Diphtheria German measles.	4 2 12 6 4 25 16 23 5 6 8 15
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NEW YORK.  (Exclusive of New York City.) Cerebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria In luenza Measles Pneumonia Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever Smallpox Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NOETH CAROLINA. Cerebrospinal meningitis	20 4 1 3 15 7 1 1 98 19 152 57 2 76 1 47 250	Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  WEST VIRGINIA.  Diphtheria Scarlet fever. Typhoid fever: Huntington Scattering WISCONSIN.  Milwaukce: Chicken pox. Diphtheria German measles Measles.	12 12 6 4 25 16 23 5 6 8 15
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NEW YORK.  (Exclusive of New York City.) Cerebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria In luenza. Measles Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NOETH CAROLINA. Cerebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox.	20 4 1 3 15 7 1 98 19 152 57 2 2 76 1 47 250	Chicken pox.  Diphtheria  Measles.  Mumps. Scarlet fever.  Smallpox.  Tuberculosis  Typhoid fever.  Whooping cough  WEST VIRGINIA.  Diphtheria. Scarlet fever.  Typhoid fever: Huntington. Scattering.  WISCONSIN.  Milwaukce: Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles. Measles. Pneumonia.	4 2 12 6 4 25 16 23 5 6 8 15 7 5 1 29 1
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Searlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NEW YORK.  (Exclusion of New York City.) Cerebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria In luenza Measles Pneumonia Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever Smallpox Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NORTH CAROLINA. Cerebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox. Diphtheria	20 4 1 3 15 7 1 1 98 19 152 57 2 2 76 1 1 47 250	Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  WEST VIRGINIA. Diphtheria Scarlet fever. Typhoid fever: Huntington Scattering  WISCONSIN. Milwaukce: Chicken pox. Diphtheria German measles Measles. Pneumonia Poliomyelitis	4 2 12 6 4 25 16 23 5 6 8 15
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NEW YORK.  (Exclusive of New York City.) Cerebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria In luenza Measles. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever Smallpox. Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NOETH CAROLINA. Cerebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles.	20 4 1 3 15 7 1 1 98 199 152 57 2 2 76 1 1 47 250	Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  WEST VIRGINIA.  Diphtheria Scarlet fever. Typhoid fever: Huntington Scattering WISCONSIN.  Milwaukce: Chicken pox. Diphtheria German measles Measles. Pneumonia Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever.	4 2 12 6 4 25 16 23 5 6 8 15 7 5 1 29 1 1 4 4 29 1 1 1 1 29 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Searlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NEW YORK.  (Exclusion of New York City.) Cerebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria In luenza Measles Pneumonia Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever Smallpox Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NORTH CAROLINA. Cerebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox. Diphtheria	20 4 1 3 15 7 1 98 19 152 57 6 1 47 250 2 4 47 250 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  WEST VIRGINIA. Diphtheria Scarlet fever. Typhoid fever: Huntington Scattering  WISCONSIN. Milwaukce: Chicken pox. Diphtheria German measles Measles. Pneumonia Poliomyelitis	4 2 12 6 4 25 16 23 5 6 8 15 7 5 1 29 1 1 4 1
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NEW YORK.  (Exclusive of New York City.) Cerebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria In Juenza Measles. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever Smallpox Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NORTH CAROLINA. Cerebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox Diphtheria Measles. Poliomyelitis	20 4 1 3 15 7 1 98 19 152 57 6 1 47 250 2 4 173 111 113 114 115 115 115 115 115 115 115	Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  WEST VIRGINIA.  Diphtheria Scarlet fever. Typhoid fever: Huntington Scattering. WISCONSIN.  Milwaukee: Chicken pox. Diphtheria German measles. Measles. Pneumonia Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Smallpox.	4 2 12 6 4 25 16 23 5 6 8 15 7 5 1 29 1 1 4 1 27
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough.  NEW YORK.  (Exclusive of New York City.) Cerebrospinal meningitis. Diphtheria In luenza Measles. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NORTH CAROLINA. Cerebrospinal meningitis. Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Poliomyelitis. Scarlet fever. Septic sore throat. Smallpox.	20 4 1 3 15 7 1 1 98 199 152 57 2 2 47 250 11 173 111 1 13 4 47 2 147 2	Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  WEST VIRGINIA.  Diphtheria. Scarlet fever. Typhoid fever: Huntington Scattering  WISCONSIN.  Milwaukee: Chicken pox. Diphtheria. German measles. Measles. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis.	4 2 12 6 4 25 16 23 5 6 8 15 7 5 1 29 1 1 4 1 27
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NEW YORK.  (Exclusive of New York City.) Cerebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria In Juenza Measles Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NORTH CAROLINA. Cerebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox. Diphtheria. Measles. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever Whooping cough	20 4 1 3 15 7 1 1 98 19 152 57 2 6 1 47 250 2 4 113 113 114 115 115 115 115 115 115 115	Chicken pox.  Diphtheria Measles. Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  WEST VIRGINIA.  Diphtheria Scarlet fever. Typhoid fever: Huntington Scattering. Wisconsin.  Milwaukee: Chicken pox. Diphtheria German measles. Measles. Pneumonia Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Whooping cough Scattering: Chicken pox.	4 2 12 6 4 25 16 23 5 6 8 15 7 5 1 29 1 1 4 1 27 186 11
Diphtheria Malaria Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough.  NEW YORK.  (Exclusive of New York City.) Cerebrospinal meningitis. Diphtheria In luenza Measles. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever Whooping cough  NORTH CAROLINA. Cerebrospinal meningitis. Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Poliomyelitis. Scarlet fever. Septic sore throat. Smallpox.	20 4 1 3 15 7 1 1 98 19 152 57 2 6 1 47 250 2 4 113 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  WEST VIRGINIA.  Diphtheria Scarlet fever. Typhoid fever: Huntington Scattering Wisconsin. Milwaukee: Chicken pox. Diphtheria German measles Measles. Pneumonia Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Whooping cough Scattering:	4 2 12 6 4 25 16 23 5 6 8 15 7 5 1 29 1 1 4 1 27 186 11

wisconsin—continued.	1	WISCONSIN—continued.			
Scattering—Continued. Ca: Influenza. Measles. Ophthalmia neonatorum Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis.	2 27 1 3 1 37 20	<b>.</b>	122 6 4 1 12		

#### Delayed Reports for Week Ended July 29, 1922.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.	es.	MAINE.	
Chicken pox Diphtheria Measles Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever Whooping cough  KENTUCKY. Chicken pox	3 2 12 3 27 7 12	Cas Chicken pox. Diphtheria Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis. Measles. Mumps. Poliomyelitis Searlet fever. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever.	9 8 4 1 3 1
Diphtheria	10 12	Whooping cough	2
Pneumonia	1	MISSOURI. Chicken pox	5
Jefferson County Scattering	8	Diphtheria. Epidemic sore throat	28 3 6
Scattering	. 4	Diphtheria. Epidemic sore throat. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia.	3 6 1 2
Scattering	1 3	Diphtheria Epidemic sore throat Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia Scarlet fever. Tetanus	3 6 1
Scattering Septic sore throat Smallpox Trachoma Tuberculosis: Jefferson County	4 1 3 5	Diphtheria. Epidemic sore throat. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Scarlet fever.	3 6 1 2 14 2

#### SUMMARY OF CASES REPORTED MONTHLY BY STATES.

The following summary of monthly State reports is published weekly and covers only those States from which reports are received during the current week:

State.	Cerebrospinal meningitis.	Diphtheria.	Influenza.	Malaria.	Measles.	Pellagra.	Poliomyelitis.	Scarlet fever.	Smallpox.	Typhoid fever.
1922. Arkansas (June). Delaware (July). Massachusetts (July). Pennsylvania (June).	3 7	9 10 382 743	16 2	453 5 8	25 4 1,275 5,103	62 1 1	1 23 1	3 12 226 685	18	93 23 75 207

#### PLAGUE (RODENT).

#### California.

Five ground squirrels (Citellus beecheyi) shot near Dublin, Alameda County, Calif., July 8, 1922, have been found to be plague-infected. Dublin is located about 25 miles from Oakland and was reported to be the place of origin of a recent case of human plague occurring in Alameda County (see Public Health Reports, July 7, 1922, p. 1658).

#### TYPHUS FEVER.

#### Mobile, Ala.

Under date of August 3, 1922, one case of typhus fever, confirmed by the Weil-Felix reaction, was reported in Mobile, Ala. The source of the case was not determined.

#### Milford. Del.

One case of typhus fever was reported in Milford, Del., for the week ended July 15, 1922. No history of exposure was obtained.

#### CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED JULY 22, 1922.

#### ANTHRAX.

City.	Cases.	Deaths.
Illinois: Alton Chicago	1	1

#### CEREBROSPINAL MENINGITIS.

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1921, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full seven years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

City.	Median for pre-	Week ended July 22, 1922.		y 22, 1922. Median for pre-		y 22, 1922. Med for 1		Week July 2	
	vious years.	Cases.	Deaths.		vious years.	Cases.	Deaths.		
California: Riverside				Missonri:					
San Francisco	0	1 1	1	Independence New Jersey:	0		] ]		
Connecticut:	ľ			Union	0	١,	1		
Bridgeport	1 0			New York:	, ,	1	l		
Derby	l ŏ	<del>.</del> .	l î	New York	7	5	1 4		
Illinois:			-	Oklahoma:		"	1		
Chicago	2	2	1	Tulsa	0	l	! :		
Kansas:		ŀ		Texas:					
Wichita	0	1	1	Dallas	0	1			
Kentucky:		_		Virginia:	l		l		
Louisville Maryland:	0	1	1	Norfolk	. 0	1			
maryiana: Baltimore	١,	2		West Virginia:			i		
Michigan:	1 1	2	•••••	Huntington	0		l		
Detroit		1 2	1		1	1	l		

#### DIPHTHERIA.

See p. 1965; also Telegraphic weekly reports by States, p. 1956, and Monthly summaries by States, p. 1959.

## CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED JULY 22, 1922—Continued. INFLUENZA.

		INFLU	ENZA.			
Cases.		Deaths, week ended	City.	Ca: Week	Deaths, week	
July 2	23, July 2	July 22, 1922.		ended July 23, 1921.	ended July 22 1922.	July 22 1922.
			Now Torcov			
ļ	1	3	New York: New York	4	8	
1	1	1	Pennsylvania: Philadelphia	· · · · · · · ·	1	
	6	1	Nashville			<u> </u>
		LEPI	tosy.	<del></del>		
	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	C	ases.	Deaths
	1	1	New York: New York		1	•••••
	•	MAL	ARIA.	<b>!</b>		7
			Louisiana:			
		i	Maryland: Baltimore.		1	
	1	1	Newark		2	•••••
ŀ	4		Ohio: Cleveland		1	••••••
	20 12 6		Charleston		21	
	2 2		Texas: Dallas Virginia:		5	•••••
	1		Norfolk.	1	2	
	Weel ende July 1921	Week ended July 23, 1921.   1922.   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	Cases.   Deaths, week ended   July 23, 1922.   1922.	Deaths, week ended   Gity.   City.	Cases	Cases

#### MEASLES.

See p. 1965; also Telegraphic weekly reports from States, p. 1956, and Monthly summaries by States, p. 1959.

PELLAGRA.

City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths.
Colorade: Denver. District of Columbia: Washington. Georgia: Atlanta. Augusta. South Carolina: Charleston. Greenville.	1	1 1 1 1	Texas: Dallas Fort Worth Galveston Houston Virginia: Norfolk.		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

### CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED JULY 22, 1922—Continued.

#### PNEUMONIA (ALL FORMS).

City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Death
labama:			Montana:		
Birmingham		3	Billings		
alifornia:			Butte.		
Alameda	ا	1	Nebraska:		
Long Beach Los Angeles Oakland Pasadena	1	1	Lincoln		
Los Angeles	6	4	Omaha		
Oakland	2	1	Nevada:		
Pasadena		1	Reno		
Kiverside	1	1 2 3 7	New Jersey:		
Sacramento		2	Atlantic City	1	
San Diego	3	3	Bloomfield	1	
San Francisco Santa Ana		7	Clifton	. 1	
Santa Ana	1		East Orange	2	
olorado:	1		Garfield		
Denver		3	Hoboken		ŀ
onnecticut:		1	Jersey City		
Hartford	1		Jersey City Kearny Newark	1	l
New Haven		2	Newark	9	
istrict of Columbia:	ł		Urange	1	
Washington		5	Passaic		
lorida:			Plainfield		
Tampa	1	1	Trenton	6	1
eorgia: Atlanta		_	New York: Albany	l	l
Auanta	2	2	Albany	3	
Augusta		1	Buffalo		I
linois:	1		Cortland	1	ļ
Aurora	58	1	Elmira	4	l
Chicago	98	18	Little Falls		ı
		1	Newburgh		!
Peoria		2	New York.	89	I
RockfordSpringfield		2	Niagara Falls		1
ansas:		1	North Tonawanda	1	·····
Vancos City		ì	Rochester	7	1
Kansas City	1 1		Troy.		1
Wichita Centucky:	1		Watertown		
Corrington	1	_	White Plains	1	
Covington	2	5	North Carolina:	1	l
ouisiana:	2	1	Winston-Salem		1
New Orleans	[	2	Ohio:	ŀ	
faine:		2	Barberton		
Rangor	1		Cincinnati		
Bangor Biddeford	-	i	Cleveland	9	
faryland:		1	Columbus East Cleveland	1	l
Baltimore	16	6	Last Cleveland	1	
fassachusetts:	1.	1	Lakewood. Niles.		1
Arlington	1	1	Norwood		l
ArlingtonBoston	7	8	Norwood		l
Brookline	i	ľ	Tolodo		ł
Cambridge	i	li	Toledo Youngstown	1	i
Haverhill	.! 1	· · · ·			ı
Holyoke		1	Oregon :	1	ı
Holyoke. Lawrence	i	l *	Pennsylvania:	1	l
Leominster		i	Philadelphia	31	i
Lynn	. 1	l	Rhode Island:	1 01	l
Medford		i	Pawtucket	1	ı
MedfordNorth Attleboro	.1	î	Providence	1	I
Pittsfield	.1	. 2	South Carolina:	1	l
Taunton		1	Charleston		1
W OI CESTEL	.	3	Greenville		l
lichigan:	1		Tennessee:	1	Ì
Detroit	. 6	3	Memphis		l
Punt.	. 1	1	Nashville	.	1
Grand Rapids	. 2	1	Texas:	1	1
Hamtramck	.) 1		Dallas	. 1	1
Highland Park	.  2	1	Fort Worth		.1
Holland		. 1	Houston	.	
Kalamazoo	: i	. 1	Utah:	1	1
Marquette	.  1		Salt Lake City	.]	.1
Saginaw	.	. 1	Virginia:		1
Linnesota:	1	1	Norfolk		.I
Duluth	. 1		Portsmouth	.!	
Hibbing		.  1	Richmond		.1
Minneapolis	-	. 2	Wisconsin::	1	1
# 1920(1) P1 *	1	1	Janesville	.	.l
ALOSOUIT.					
Kansas City	-	2	Milwaukee Racine	. 1	

### CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED JULY 22, 1922—Continued. POLIOMYELITIS (INFANTILE PARALYSIS).

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1921, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full seven years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

City.	Median for pre-		ended 2, 1922.	City.	Median for pre-	Week ended July 22, 1922.	
•	vious years.	Cases.	Deaths.		vius years.	Cases.	Deaths.
Alabama:     Rirmingham     California:     Los Angeles     Maine:     Waterville     Maryland:     Baltimore.     Massachusetts:     Fall River     Haverhill     New Bedford.     Michigan:     Pontiae     Mantana:     Billings.	0 9.0 2 000 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	i 1	New Jersey: New York: Buffalo. Elmira. New York. Penneylvania: Philadelphia Rhode Island: Newport. Providence. Texas: Fort Worth. Virginia: Petersburg.	0 0 5 1 0 0	1 1 1 1 2 2 1 6	1 1

#### RABIES IN ANIMALS.

City.	Cases.	City.	Cases.
California: Los Angeles. Georgia: Savannah	1	Kentucky: Louisville Tennessee: Memphis.	i e

#### SCARLET FEVER.

See p. 1965; also Telegraphic weekly reports from States, p. 1956, and Monthly summaries by States, p. 1959.

#### SMALLPOX.

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reperted during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1921, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full seven years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

City.	Median for pre- vious	pre- ious		City.	Median for pre- vious			
	years.	Cases.	Deaths.		years.	Cases.	Deaths	
Alabama: Mobile California:	0	1		Missouri: Kansas City Montana:	2	1		
Los Angeles San Francisco	9	2	1	Missoula Nebraska:	0	3		
Colorado: Denver	3	2		Omaha New York:	4	1		
Georgia: Augusta		2		Watertown North Carolina:	0	1		
Ilmois: Peoria	0	1	<b> </b>	Durham Winston-Salem	0	8 1		
Isdiana: FrankfortIndianapolis	0	1	·	Ohio: Springfield	0	1		
lowa: Burlington	•	. 2		Oregon: Portland Wisconsin:	6	5	ļ	
Dubuque	Ŏ	1		Ashland	0	·1 ·1 14		
Hutchinson	0	1		Superior. Wausau	ő	l 'i		
Michigan: Detroit Flint Grand Rapids	5	2 2						

### CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED JULY 22, 1922—Continued. TETANUS.

City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths.
California: Los Angeles Connecticut: Hartford Illinois: Chicago Maryland: Baltimore Michigan: Detroit Minnesota: Minneapolis		1 1 3	Missouri: St. Louis. New Jersey: Newark New York: New York Rochester White Plains Ohio: Cleveland Lorain West Virginia: Wheeling.	1	1 1 1 2 2 1

#### TUBERCULOSIS.

See p. 1965; also Telegraphic weekly reports from States, p. 1956.

#### TYPHOID FEVER.

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1921, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full seven years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

City.	Median for pre- vious		ended 2, 1922.	City.	Median for pre- vious	Week July 2	
	years.	Cases.	Deaths.		years.	Cases.	Deaths
Alahama	· -			Iowa:			
Alabama: Birmingham	10	7	2	Dubuque		1	
Montgomery	10	í	_	Marshalltown	ŏ	i	
Arkansas:	. • 1	-	:	Kansas:			
Little Rock	2	1		Atchison	0	2	
North Little Rock	ī	3		Kansas City	ŏ	ī	
California:	- 1			Kentucky:		_	
Los Angeles	6	5	1	Lexington	0	1	١
Oakland	2	3		Louisville	9	7	1
Richmond	0	-1		Owensboro		3	
Sacramento		1		Paducah	0	3	
San Francisco		4	2	Louisiana:			i
Stockton	1	6	2	New Orleans	6	4	
Colorado:			1	Maine:			1
Denver		2 2		Lewiston	0	1	
Pueblo		2		Baltimore	9	4	1
Hartford	0	1	1	Cumberland		1	1
Milford	l ŏ	i	1 *	Massachusetts:	_		ļ
New Haven	ŏ	7	i	Boston	4	3	ı
Norwich	lŏ	l i	1	Haverhill	Õ		
Delaware:	, ,	-	1	Melrose.	ŏ	i	1
Wilmington	1 1	1	1	New Bedford	l i	2	
District of Columbia:	ì		_	North Adams	0	1 1	
Washington	. 5	5		Peabody	0	1	l
Florida:	i	1	1	Somerville	0	3	1
Tampa	. 0		. 1	Taunton	0	1	
Georgia:	1	i	1	Westfield	0	1	
Albany	.	1	1	Michigan:	١ .	3	1
Atlanta	. 2	2		Detroit	. 8	1	
Augusta		1		Flint		i	
Brunswick	. 0	3		Kalamazoo	1 . 8	l i	1
Macon		4		Pontiac	l ŏ	li	
Rome	. 3	3		Saginaw	Ĭ	l i	
Savannah	. 1	2		Minnesota:	4	1 -	1
Illinois:	1 .	١.	I	Duluth	. 1	1	
Aurora	. 0	1		Minneapolis	1	2	1
Chicago	. 5	2	1	St. Paul	. 2	1	
Rock Island	. 0	2		Missouri:			1
Indiana:	1 .		1	Kansas City	. 3	8	
Huntington	. 0	1		St. Joseph	. 1	1	
Indianapolis	. 3	1		St. Louis	. 8	7	1
Kokomo	. 0	1		Montana:	1 .	١.	1
Muncie Terre Haute		1		Great Falls	. 0	1	1
refre naute	., 0		•••••	Missoula	0 ا.	1	•

### CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED JULY 22, 1922—Continued.

#### TYPHOID FEVER-Continued.

City.	Median for pre- vious		ended 2, 1922.	City.	Median for pre- vious	Week July 22	
·	years.	Cases.	Deaths.	-	years.	Cases.	Deaths.
Nebraska: Lincoln New Hampshire: Dover New Jersey: Clifton Jersey City Newark Passaic Paterson Plainfield Trenton West Orange New York Albany Buffalo Elmira Glens Falls Hudson New York Poughkeepsie Troy Watertown North Carolina: Durham Raleigh Winston-Salem Ohio: Bucyrus Cincinnati Cleveland Columbus Kemmore Springfield Toledo Oklahoma Tulsa Pennsylvania:	1 0 2 0 6 6 0 2 1 1 2 0 0 1 1 5 5	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 3 4 1 1 1 2 2 3 4 3 3 4 3 3 4 3 3 4 4 1 1 1 2 2 3 4 3 3 3 4 3 3 4 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 3	3 3 3 1	Pennsylvania—Contd. Coatesville. Lebanon. New Castle. Philadelphia. Pittsburgh. York South Carolina: Charleston. Columbia. Greenville. Tennessee: Chattanooga. Knoxville. Memphis. Nashville Texas: Dallas. Fort Worth. Houston. Waco. Utah: Salt Lake City. Virginia: Alexandria. Danville. Lynchburg. Norfolk. Portsmouth. Richmond. Roanoke. Washington: Spokane. Tacoma. West Virginia: Bluefield. Huntington. Wheeling. Wisconsin: Oshkosh.	1 1 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	1 1 3 6 5 5 2 2 1 2 2 18 9 6 6 5 5 2 2 2 1 1 4 2 2 3 3 3 1 3 3 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
AllentownBethlehemCanonsburg	Ö	1 1		Stevens Point		i	

#### DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS.

	Popula- tion Jan.	Total deaths	Diph	theria.	Mea	sles.	Sca. fev	rlet er.	Tul culo	
City.	1, 1920, subject to correction.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Akbama: Birmingham Mobile. Montgomery Arhansas: Fort Smith	178, 270 60, 151 43, 464 28, 811	45 16 16	62		2		1 1		4	7
Hot Springs	11, 695 14, 048	4	3						i	
AlamedaBakersfieldLong Beach	28, 806 18, 638 55, 593	11 7 14	····i	1	1					i
Los Angeles Oakland Pasadena	576, 673 216, 361 45, 354	148 37 12	34	1	3		10 4		30 9	21 4 3
Richmond	16, 843 19, 341 65, 857	6 12	3		i		i			i

# CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK KNDED JULY 22, 1922—Continued. DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS—Continued.

!	Popula- tion Jan.	Total deaths	Diph	heria.	Mea	sles.	Sca. fev	rlet er.	Tul culd	ber- osis.
City.	1, 1920, subject to correction.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	·Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
California—Continued.										
California—Continued. San Diego	74, 683 508, 410	24 100	3 9	····i·	1 2		2	•••••	2	
San Francisco	15, 485	100	2				4		30	1
Santa Barbara	19, 441 16, 917	3	ļ <del>.</del> .							
Santa Cruz	10,917	7								i
Stockton	49, 296	10	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • •	•••••	1			
Denver	256,389	68	14	1	1		6			1
Peublo	42,908	12								1
Connecticut: Bridgeport	143,538	20	1	١.	8		2		۱ .	
Bristol.	20,620	20			2			• • • • • •		
Derby (town)	20,620 11,238	2 2			ļ <del>.</del> .					
Fairfield	11.4/3				2					
Greenwich	22, 123	30	5	····i	1 2		1		1 8	
Manchester	138,036 18,370 10,193	5								1 1
Milford (town)	10, 193	5 3			1					
New Haven	162,519	33	1		12		2			
Norwich (town) Stonington (town)	29, 685 10, 236	5 2			····i					1
Delaware:	10,200	· •	•••••							
Wilmington. District of Columbia:	110, 168	26		1			1	<b> </b>	l	1 :
District of Columbia:	400 500	100	٠.,	١.		1	١.		1	
Washington	437,571	106	12	1	14		1		33	
Tampa	51,252	13			l	! !	l			<u>                                     </u>
Georgia: Atlanta		1			1					1
Atlanta	209,616 52,548	51 18	3	1			3		4	1
Brunswick	14, 413	1 4							1	]
Macon	14, 413 52, 995 13, 252 83, 252	1					i		1	1
Rome.	13, 252		2							
Savannah. Valdosta	83,252 10,783	18	2							
Idaho:	10,100	1 .			1	]	i		1	1
Boise	21,393	5				<b></b>			.]	
Illinois: Alton	94 609	6	1	1		1	1	1	1	
Aurora	24,682 36,397 28,725	. 10	8	i	i		1			
Aurora. Bloomington.	28,725	6	Ĭ		.]				. 4	7
Centralia. Champaign		5								
Chicago.	15,873 2,701,705 44,995	501	1 70	3	194	3	31		295	·····
Cicero.	44,995	1	79 2	l	2					`
Decatur	. 43,818	3	1							
East St. Louis.	. <b>6</b> 6,740	21		-	····· <sub>2</sub>				2 2	
E vansion	27, 454 37, 215	8			3				1	
Forest Park	1 1/0 7269	1	. '''i	1	2					
Freeport	19, 669 23, 834 13, 552 39, 830	5			.				-	
Mattoon.	23,834	9			-					-
Oak Park	39,830	10			4		1		1	1
Peoria	. 76, 121 35, 978	26 8 9	i	1		4	. 2			
Quincy. Rockford.	. 35,978	8		-	8	d	2 2 2		i	1
Rock Island	35, 177	3	i	-			. 2		i	1
Springfield	65,651 35,177 59,183	12	J		i	1	i		2	1
Indiana: Anderson	1		Ι.	1	1	1	1	ł	i	1
Clinton.	. 29, 767 10, 962	3	. 1	1	-	1	1		1	1
Crawfordsville	. 10, 139	i	2		1	1	1		1:	
East Chicago	. 35, 967	4	4							-1
Frankfort	. 10, 962 10, 139 25, 967 11, 585 55, 378 . 36, 004	14	1	-			-	-	· ····	
Hammond.	36.004	17	i	·····i	5			-	1	1
Huntington	.] 14,000	2	<b> </b>	.1	.1	.			1	
Indianapolis	314, 194	1 14	4		. 13		. 6		. <del> </del>	-{
Kokomo. La Fayette	314, 194 30, 067 22, 486	3 3	1	-		-	-	· ····	· ····	
Logansport	21,626		1	1	1		· ····i		1	1
Mishawaka	15, 195	l š								

# CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED JULY 22, 1922—Continued. DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS—Continued.

	Popula- tion Jan.	Total deaths	Diph	theria.	Meas	sles.	Scar fev		Tul culo	er- sis.
City.	1, 1920, subject to correction.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Indiana—Continued.							-			
Muncie	36,624 14,458	5								1
Newcastle	14,458	5 3 7							1	1 1
South Bend	70,983	7			12		1		5	
Terre Haute	66, 083	20								1
Iowa: Burlington	24,057	1	1				1		2	1
Clinton	24, 151		3		•••••		-			
Council Bluffs	36, 162	9	1				2			····i
Des Moines	126,468	ļ	4				10			
Dubuque	39, 141		1		i		1	:		•••••
Marshalltown	15,731				• • • • • •		1	• • • • • •		
Mason City Muscatine	20,065 16,068	3 7			• • • • • •	•••••	1	• • • • • •		
Ottumwa	23,003	· •			•••••	•••••	i		•••••	•••••
Sioux City	23,003 71,227 36,230		4						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Waterloo	36, 230						3			
Kansas:	i		1	ł						
Coffeyville	13,452	1							6	
Hutchinson	23,298 101,177		1 3			• • • • • •			1	•••••
Kansas City Lawrence	12 456	6	3		4	• • • • • •	1 2		4 5	
Leavenworth	16, 912	۳	3				î		9	
Parsons	12, 456 16, 912 16, 028	4							i	
Salina	15,085 50,022	2			1		4		l	
Topeka	50,022	11	1		<u>-</u> -				5	i
Wichita	72, 128	12	1		2		1			
Kentucky: Covington	57, 121	21	9		7	j	l	1		Ì
Lexington	41 534	16	2 3		2					•••••
Louisville	234, 891	60	2				2		14	6
Owensboro	17,424						l <del>.</del> .		1 1	
Paducah	234,891 17,424 24,735								1	
Louisiana:	i e		١ .	ł	İ	ļ	ł	İ		
New Orleans	387,219	115	6						29	19
Auburn	16,985	6	i	l	i		l	ł	1	١.
Bangor	25, 978		i							1
Bath	14,731	i	1		1					
Biddeford	25, 978 14, 731 18, 008	1		.	1		1			
Lewiston	31.791	15	1	-						
Portland Sanford	69, 272 10, 691	22	2				j		·[	
Waterville	13,351	°	. i				i			
Maryland: Baltimore.	1		1	1			1 -			
Baltimore	733,826	175	12		. 39	1	6	1	30	14
Cumberland	29,837	13					1		. 1	1
Massachusetts:	19 067	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Arlington	. 12,967 18 665	4			4		1 *		2	
Attieporo	18,665 19,731	3							<b></b>	i
Belmont	. 10.749	3 2								1
Beverly	22,561 748,060	5	1		. 3					
Boston Braintree	. 748,060	174	36	i	. 58	2	20		42	13
Brookline	10,580 37,748	3		-	5				. 1	1
Cambridge	109,694	17	4	-	10				5	i
Cheisea	43, 184 36, 214	13	ĩ		. 6		2		. ĭ	1
Chicopee	. 36,214	1 7	3	2					1	i
Clinton Danvers	.1 12.979	2			•			-	i i	
Dedham	11, 108 10, 792	·····2	. 3	į	i			-	. 1	·····;
Everett.	40, 120			-	3			-	. 3	1 -
Fall River	120, 485	26	i	1	12		3	1	. 9	4
rramingham	120,485 17,033	ı		.	. 2		i i			
Greenfield	. 15,462	2			-			.		
Haverhill	53, 884 60, 203	19	1		•	.		.	. 1	
Holyoke. Lawrence.	. 60, 203 94, 270	11	1		2		i	-	. 2	····i
Leominster	19, 744	18			. 1	1	. 1		·   2	1
Lowell	112, 479	27	i		. 1		i	1	. 6	2
Lynn	. 112, 479 99, 148	5 27 20	4		. 2		. 2		6 2	1
Malden	. 49.103	1 5	16		. 4	1	1 2		. 2	
Medford	39,038 18,204	6 2	1	] 1	1 4		. 2		-	· ·····
	. 10,204	, 2	'	• • • • • •	. 4					

# CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED JULY 22, 1922—Continued. DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS—Continued.

	Popula- tion Jan.	Total deaths	Dipht	heria.	Mea	sles.	Sca. fev	rlet er.	Tu culo	ber- osis.
City.	1, 1920, subject to correction.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Massachusetts—Continued.										
Methuen	15, 189 10, 907	1						J	1	
Natick New Bedford	1 121 217	12	i		····i		'		1	
Newburyport	15,618 46,054 22,282	6			i				2	1
Newton North Adams	46,054	4			2				4	
North Adams	22, 282							ļ	2	
Northampton	21,951	9	·····2		9	• • • • • •			2	
Peabody	19, 552 41, 751	12	2				····i		3	
Plymouth	13,045	4 7					l		•	
Quincy	47,876	7								····i
Salem.	42,529	6	6		4			J		
SaugusSomerville	10,874 93,091	3 15			·····2		····i		2	
Southbridge	14,245	1 1					l			1
Springfield	14,245 129,563 37,137	21	i		9				6	2
Taunton	37,137	• 15	1		<u>-</u> -				1	ļ
Waltham.	13,025 30,915	3	i		7		····i		·····	
Watertown	21,457	2	l		li		_ *			
Webster	21,457 13,258	1			l					
west Springheld	13,443	3 2 1 3 2								
Westfield. Winthrop.	18,604	2								
Woburn	16,574	3			1				1	
Worcester	15, 455 16, 574 179, 754	39	2				3		7	1
Michigan:	1	· -	1		1		-		1	•
Benton Harbor	12, 233 993, 739	181		2		····			·	·
Detroit Flint	91, 599	181	13	2	16 4	2	30		31	12
Grand Rapids	137.634	34	13 2 2		· · · · · ·		5			8
Hamtramck	48,615 46,499						5 2		2	
Highland Park	. 46,499	10					. 4			. 1
Holland	12, 166	18	1 1				3 2		·	
Marquette	48,858 12,718 34,273	1	1				2		1	
Pontiac	34, 273	6	l		3					
Port Huron	. 25,944	7			10					
SaginawSault Ste. Marie	25, 944 61, 903 12, 096	13 5		. 1	1		. 2		2	ļ <u>.</u>
Minnesota:	. 12,030	1 "						.		- 1
Dubuth	98,917	7	1		. 4		. 1	1		
Hibbing	15, 089 380, 582	2	1				. 1			
Minneapolis	. 380, 582	69	7		. 11		6		15	4
St. Paul	. 15, 873 234, 595	41	8		6	.	18		ii	
Virginia	234, 595 14, 022						1		i i	
Missouri:		ł	1	1			1	1	-	
Independence	. 11,686	7	1	-	·  <u>-</u> -			-	·  <u>:</u>	-
Saint Joseph	77, 939	59 27	1		. 3		. 1		. 8	3
Saint Louis	772, 897	147	12	i	2		3	1	38	- 8 2 9
Springfield	324, 410 77, 939 772, 897 39, 631	12								. i
Montana: Billings	1		1		1		1	i	į	1
Butte	. 15, 100 41, 611	4 7			-			-	-	
Great Falls	24, 121	5	i		i		1			1
Missoula	. 12,668	11							. 1	i
Nebraska: Lincoln	E4 024	12	. 1		١.		i	i i	١.	1
Omaha	. 54, 934 . 191, 601	39		i	. 1				. 1	2
Nevada:	-	"	_	1 -	ľ			-	-	1 -
Reno	. 12,016	5		.	.	.	. İ		.	.ļ
New Hampshire: Berlin.	16 104	١.		ł		1	1		١.	
Concord	. 16, 104 22, 167 13, 029	3 13		-	-	-	-	-	- 1	····i
Dover	13.029	4		1				-		1
Keene	11,210	i			i	1			i	
New Jersey: Asbury Park		1	1		1	1		1	1	
	12, 400	17			· ···· <u>;</u>	-			····i	
Atlantic City	50 6-0	17								
Atlantic City	. 50, 6:2	17		-	2		. 1		1 2	
Atlantic City	50, 682 76, 754 15, 660 22, 019		. 2		2 2		i		2	

## CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED JULY 22, 1922—Continued.

### DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS—Continued.

Portion		Total deaths	Dipht	heria.	Mea	sles.	Sca fev	riet er.	Tub culo	) 3 <b>r-</b> Sis.
City.	1, 1920, subject to correction.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
w Jersey—Continued.										
Clifton	26, 470 50, 710 11, 627	1			1		1	ll		
East Orange Englewood	50,710	. 5	2		2				3	
Englewood Garfield Hackensack Hoboken Jersey City Kearny Morristown Newark Orange Passaic Paterson	11,627	2	2							
Garneld	19, 381 17 667	4			2				1	
Hackensack	68 166	14	3						2 2	• • • •
Jorgev City	907 964	62	13		····i		···· <del>3</del>	····i	10	
Kearny	26 724 12,548 414,216 33,268	4	13		î		i		10	
Morristown	12,548	4	1		8					
Newark	414, 216	81	6		30		4		19	
Orange	33, 268	3	i		6		Ź		ĩ	
Passaic		12	l		4				4	
Paterson	135,866 41,707 16,923	<u>-</u> -	7		7					
Perth Amboy	41,707	5	1		1				3	
Paterson. Perth Amboy Philliosburg.	16,923	4								
Pahway	27,700	9 5	2	2	11		2		<u>-</u> -	l
Plainfield Rahway Summit Trenton	11,042 10 174	1			2				2	
Trenton	10,174 119,289	32	4		13					
West Hoboken	40,068	3	1 *		13					i .
West New York	29,926	32 3 2 1	i						1	
West Orange	29,926 15,573	1	1 -		1				l i	
w Mexico:		l	1	1	1			1	1	
Albuquerque	15, 157	8	8	3		l	1	1	5	l
w York:		Į.	1	1	1			1	1	
Albany	113,344 506,775 22,987	····	4						3	
Buffalo	505,775	21		. 1	5		7		26	
Control of	22,987	12		.	·  <u>-</u> -					ļ
Cohoes Cortland Elmira Glens Falls	13,294 45,305	1 3		.	. 8					
Clane Falls	16,638	3	1 1		. 1		1			
Hornell	15,025,	i	1		4					
Hornell. Hudson Ithaca	11,745	6			• •					
Ithaca	17,004	5	2				1	.		
Lackawanna	17,918	4	1				1		2 2	
Little FallsLockport	13,029	- 3							ī	
Lockport	21,308	5							2	l
Newburgh	21,308 30,366	11	1		. 18		.	.	J	
New York	5,621,151	1,055	132	7	182	4	51	1	1 231	1 '
Niagara Falls North Tonawanda	50,760	6	4		. 17		. 3			
	15,482	1 1	1		- 4		. 1			
Peekekill	20,506 15,868	7		• • • • • • •	. 15	-	i	•		1
Olean. Peekskill. Poughkeepsie. Rochester Saratoga Springs Schenectady. Troy. Watertown	35,000	7		-	. 13		' '		i	
Rochester	1 205 750	l an	5	3	30	3			1 4	1
Saratoga Springs	13, 181 88, 723 72, 013	6	1				2		2	1
Schenectady	88,723	15					1			
Troy.	72,013	23	1	1	. 1	1			2	1
Watertown	31,285	5		.	. 1		.	.	. 1	
orth Carolina:	21,031	4				-			.	٠
Durchom	0	١ ،		1	1	i	1	1	1	1
Raleigh	21,719	6		· · · · · i	• • • • • •		•		•	١.
Rocky Mount	24, 418 12, 742	4	1 4	'   *		-	-	-	•   • • • • • •	
Raleigh Rocky Mount Salisbury Wilmington Winston-Salem	13,884	1 2				-	-			·
Wilmington	33,372								i	
Winston-Salem	48,395	. 9	3	1					. 5	ļ
will Dakuta.	L	1		ļ	ı	1	1	1	1	1
Fargo.	21,961		- 1			-	-		· <b> </b> · · · · ·	٠.٠٠
Grand Forkshio:	14,010		. 2	;			-			-
Akron	900 #25	18	3 1	. 1	1.	. 1	.] 3	.	. 20	1
Ashtabula	. 208,435 22,082	19		·	. 5	, I	.l ,	,	- 20	1
Barberton	18,811			1	-	-1			1	.l
Bucyrus	10, 425		3				:L*			1
Cambridge	. 10,425 13,104		2		. i					
Canton	. 87,091	1 8	3 1 3	3					.	
Chillicothe	. 15,831	1 7	3		`					.
Uncinnati	. 15,831 401,247	70	3   3		3	<u> </u>	- 4		. 13	
Ashtabula Barberton Bucyrus Cambridge Canton Chillicothe Cincinnati Cleveland Cleveland Heights Columbus Dayton	. 796, 836	)   134	3   18	3	79	?	- 13	3	. 38	
Columbus	. 15,230 237,031	5	·····	4	3	3	- 1	l		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pulmonary tuberculosis only.

# CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED JULY 22, 1922—Continued. DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS—Continued.

City.   1,1920, subject to all   2		Popula- tion Jan.	Total deaths	Dipht	ntheria. Meas		Measles.		rlet er.	Tu culo	ber- sis.
Finding	City.	1, 1920, subject to	from all	Сазев.	Deaths.	Саков.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Finding	Ohio-Continued.										
Findisy	East Cleveland	27,292		1		2				1	
Hamilton   Solution   ringlay	17,021	6				· · • · · ·					
Lancaster	r remont	30 675					•••••	•••••		• • • • • •	
Lancaster	Kenmore	12,683				1					1
Lancaster	Lakewood	41,732					'	1			•
Martins Ferry	Lancaster	14,706		2	• • • • •		• • • • •				
Martinis Ferry 1.16-63	Lorain	37 295	• 14	1 1			• • • • • • •				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Martinis Ferry 1.16-63		27,824	3	3		1		i			
Norwood	Martins Ferry	11.034	2	1							•••••
Norwood	Middletown	23,594	3				• • • • • •				
Piqua	Newark	20,718	9			1 1				····:	
Page	Norwood	21,966	4				•••••			1	
Salem	Piqua	15.014	7								
Toledo	Salem.	10,305	6			22		1			
Toledo	Springheid	90,810	13	1		3					1
Youngstown	Toledo	243 109	42	9		40	2			1	
Oklahoma	Youngstown	132,358	l	5							3
Oklahoma.         91, 258         17         3           Tulsa         72, 075         3         0           Oregon:         258, 288         51         15         2         2         4           Pennsylvania:         1         1         1         1         1         1           Allentown         60, 331         2         1         1         1         1         1	Zanesville	29,569	6	1		l		1			
Tulsa	Oklahoma:				1			l	ł	l	
Portland   Pennsylvania:	Tulco	91, 258	17					····;·			4
Allentown	Oregon:	12,013	• • • • • • • • •	ļ				l °			
Allentown	Portland	258, 288	51	15		2		2	<b></b>	4	3
Altoona 60, 331	Femilisylvania:	-0		١.				١.	i		-
Beaver   12,802   2   2   3   3   3   4   7   5   3   5   3   5   3   4   7   5   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3	Altona	73, 502		1						1	<b>-</b>
Bradock   220,879   2	Beaver Falls	12 802						1 1	ļ		
Bradock   220,879   2	Berwick	12, 181				2					
Bradock   220,879   2	Bethlehem	50, 358		4		7					
Carlisle.         10,916         1           Chester.         58,630         13           Dubois.         13,861         1         1           Easton.         33,813         1         1           Erie.         93,372         2         1         2         14           Harrisburg.         75,917         4         5         1         1         1           Harrisburg.         75,917         4         5         1         <	Braddock	20, 879				2					
Chester	Carlisle	10,632				1					
Dubois	Chester	58,030				13.		l			
Erie. 93,372 2 1 1 2 14  Harrisburg. 75,917 4 5 1 1  Harleton. 32,277 4 5 1 1  Johnstown. 67,327 4 4 1 1 1  Lancaster. 53,150 3 1 1 3  McKeesport. 45,975 1 2 2  McKee's Rocks. 16,713 2 2 2  Meadville. 14,568 2 1 1  Mount Carmel. 17,460 1 1  Norristown. 32,319 2 1 3  North Braddock. 14,928 11 2  Old Forge. 12,237 3 32 11 2  Old Forge. 12,237 3 32 2 2 2 2  Philadelphia. 1,823,158 392 28 2 193 4 27 1 73  Phoenixville. 10,484 1 1 2 2  Pittsburgh. 583,193 18 101 7 20  Pittsburgh. 583,193 18 101 7 20  Pittston. 18,497 1 2 2  Pottsville. 21,876 2 2  Reading. 107,784 2 2  Scranton. 137,783 1 2 2  Scranton. 137,784 2 2  Scranton. 137,783 1 5 5 1 1  Tamaqua. 12,363  Washington. 21,480 1 1 2 2 1  Tamaqua. 12,363  Washington. 21,480 2 2 1 2  Rhode Island:  Cranston. 29,407 5 1  Newport. 30,255 4 2 1 1	Dubois	13,861		1							
Harristong	Easton	33,813		·····							
Hazieton   32, 277		75.917				5		2		14	
Johnstown	Hazieton	32, 277		·				l			
Me Keesport         45,975         2           Me Kee's Rocks         16,713         2         2           Meadville         14,568         1         1           Monessen         18,179         2         1           Mount Carmel         17,469         1         3           Norristown         32,319         2         11         3           Norristown         32,319         2         11         2           Old Forge         12,237         11         2         2           Philadelphin         1,823,158         392         28         2 193         4         27         1 73           Phoenixville         10,484         1         1         2         2         1         73         20           Pittstorgh         588,193         18         101         7         20         2           Pottstown         17,431         1         2         2         2           Reading         107,784         1         2         2           Reading         107,784         1         25         2           Scranton         137,783         1         5         1           S	Johnstown	67, 327				4					
Meadville	Me Keesport	53, 150 45, 075		3				1			
Meadville	McKee's Rocks.	16,713								2	·····
Monessen   18, 179   2	Meadville	14, 568				i				1	
Norristown   32,319   2	Monessen	18, 179		2							
North Braddock	Norristown	32 310							····		<b>-</b>
Old Forge 12, 237 Philadelphia 1, 823, 158 392 28 2 193 4 27 1 73 Phoenixville 10, 484 Pittsburgh 588, 193 18 101 7 20 Pottsburgh 17, 431 1 2 2 Pottsville 21, 876 2 2 Reading 107, 784 25 Scranton 137, 783 1 5 2 Shamokin 21, 204 7 7 2 1 Steelton 13, 428 1 5 2 Tamaqua 12, 363 Washington 21, 480 1 1 2 Wilkinsburg 24, 403 York 47, 512 2 2 Rhode Island: Cranston 22, 407 5 Newport 30, 255 4 2 1 1	North Braddock	14, 928				111					
Pittsburgh	Old Forge	12, 237				l					
Pittstom	Phoenizville	1, 823, 158	392	28	2		4	27	1	73	38
Pittstom	Pittsburgh	588 193		18						20	
Potstown         17, 431         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         3         2         1         2         1         3         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         3         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         2         1         2         1         2         2         1         2         2         1         2         2         1         2         2         1         2         2         1         2         2         1         2         2         1         2	Pittston	18, 497				101				20	
Potsyline	Pottstown	17. 431		1		ļ <u>.</u>					
Scranton   137, 783   1   5   5   1     Shamokin   21, 204   7   7     Steelton   13, 428   1   7   2   1     Tamaqua   12, 363   1   2   1     Washington   21, 480   1   1     Wilkes-Barre   73, 633   2   2   2   2     Wilkinsburg   24, 403   2   1     York   47, 512   2   2     Rhode Island:   29, 407   5   1     Newport   30, 255   4   2   1	Reading	21, 876		2							
Shamokin   21, 204   7   8   1   7   2   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	Scranton	137, 783		· · · · · · ·		25		ļ		2	
13,428	Shamokin	1 21, 204			l	7				1	
wasnington     21,480     1       Wilkins-Barre     73,833     2     2       Wilkinsburg     24,403     2     1       York     47,512     2     1       Rhode Island:     29,407     5     1       Cranston     29,407     5     1       Newport     30,255     4     2     1		13, 428		1	ļ	1		2		1	
Wilkes-Barre.     73, 533     2     2     2       Wilkinsburg.     24, 403     2     1       York.     47, 512     2     2       Rhode Island:     2     2     2       Cranston.     29, 407     5     1       Newport.     30, 235     4     2     1	Washington	12, 363				1		···· <u>·</u> ·			
Wilkinsburg 24, 403 2 1 1 2 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Wilkes-Barre	73, 533		9			¦	1 2			ļ
York	Wilkinsburg	24, 403		ļ		2		ĺí		1	
Cranston         29, 407         5         1         1           Newport         30, 255         4         2         1	York	47, 512				2		2			ļ
Newport		20, 407		1		١,	l	Ì	1	1	1
Powtrolect   Caloud   Ol Selection	Newport	30, 255	4	2	1	l		i.		1	<b>.</b>
Providence	Pawtucket	64, 248	1 24	`1		8 7					ļ

## CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED JULY 22, 1922—Continued.

## DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS—Continued.

	Popula- tion Jan-	Total deaths	Dipht	heria.	Mea	sles.	Sca fev		Tul culo	ber- osis.
City.	1, 1920, subject to correction.	from all causes.	Case	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	. Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
South Carolina:										
Charleston	67, 957	21							2 3	
Columbia	37, 524 23, 127	9	2 2				• • • • • •		3	
Greenville South Dakota:	20, 127	,	_							1
Sioux Falls	25, 176	4								
Tennessee:			1						1 2	
Chattanooga	57, 895		1				1			•••••
Knoxville	77, 818		1		3		2		3	1
Memphis	162, 351 118, 342	80 35	5 2		1		····i	• • • • • • •	8 7	
Nashville Texas:	118, 342	33	-		1		•		' '	
Beaumont	40, 422	7								
Corpus Christi	10, 522	3								
Dallas	158, 976	37	3	1	3	[	1		3	:
Fort Worth	106, 482	51	9	3			1		4	
Galveston	44, 255	11	1				1		1	
Houston	138, 076	42	3	1			1		····i	
Waco	38, 500	15	4						1	
Utah: Salt Lake City	118,110	27	1		1		1			
Vermont:	110,110		1 ^				-			
Burlington	22,779	5	1		2					
Rutland	14,954	4								
Virginia:										1
Alexandria	18,060	3								
Charlottesville	10,688						· · · · · ·		1 4	
Danville	21,539	9	lí						2	
Lynchburg Norfolk	29,956 115,777	U	i						3	:
Petersburg	31,002	14							4	
Portsmouth	54,387	10								
Richmond	171,667	62	3				2		12	- :
Roanoke	50,842	15	11	1			1			
Washington:	015 050		19				3		10	
Seattle	315,652		13				1		10	
Spckane Tacoma	104,437 96,965		i		i		î		1	
West Virginia:	03,000	1	-		_		_		-	
Bluefield	15,282	5								,
Clarksburg	27,869	4	1				1		1	
Fairmont	17,851		2				1			
Huntington	50,177 20,050	17								1
Parkersburg Wheeling	54,322	9	2		1		2		2	
Wisconsin:	01,022		-		_		_		i -	
Beloit	21,284	6	1		1		1	:	1	
Fond du Lac	23,427	2								
Green Bay	31,017						2			
Janesville	18,293	4	3	1					1	• • • • •
KenoshaLa Crosse	40,472 30,363	3	3	1	11				1	
Madison	38,378				4				1	1
Marinette	13,610		1						ī	
Milwaukee	457, 147		6		42		3		18	
Oshkosh	33, 162	10							11	
Racine	58,593	13	2		1		3			
Sheboygan	30,955		3							
Superior	39,624 18,661	8	1		1		l			
Wâusau	13,765		i							
Wast Allie										
West Allis Wyoming:	10,700		1		1	1				ł

## FOREIGN AND INSULAR.

### PLAGUE ON VESSEL.

## Steamship "Ardeola"—At Liverpool from Las Palmas.

The finding of four plague-infected rats from the steamship Ardeola has been reported at Liverpool, England. The Ardeola arrived at Liverpool June 26, 1922, from the Canary Islands, having sailed from Las Palmas; date of sailing not stated.

### AUSTRALIA.

## Importation of Live Stock from Great Britain Prohibited.

Under date of May 31, 1922, the importation into Australia of cattle, sheep, and swine from Great Britain or Ireland was prohibited until October 1, 1922, on account of the presence of foot-and-mouth disease in Great Britain.

#### BRAZIL.

#### Rodent Plague-Bahia.

Information has been received showing the presence, during the period from May 7 to June 4, 1922, of rodent plague occurring in a section of the city of Bahia, Brazil. Numerous dead rats were stated to have been found.

### CHINA.

#### Cholera-Shanghai.

Cholera was reported present at Shanghai, China, July 5, 1922, with one case officially reported among the foreign population. On August 2, 1922, cholera was reported prevalent at Shanghai.

### Cholera—Tientsin.

Two fatal cases of cholera were reported July 25, 1922, at Tientsin, China, occurring in the foreign concessions.

#### HAWAII.

### Plague-Infected Rat-Hamakua.

A rat trapped at Hamakua Mill Co., Island of Hawaii, July 8, 1922, was found positive for plague, July 14, 1922.

### LEEWARD ISLANDS, WEST INDIES.

### Smallpox-Domenica.

Information was received under date of August 5, 1922, of the presence of smallpox in the Island of Domenica, Leeward Islands, West Indies.

#### RUSSIA.

## Communicable Diseases—Esthonia—May 1-31, 1922.

Communicable diseases have been reported in Esthonia, Russia, as follows:

May 1-31, 1922.

Disease.	Cases.	Disease.	Cases.
Cerebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria. Meastes Scarlet fever	<del>2</del> 97	Smallpox Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Typhus fever	172

## CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER.

#### Reports Received During Week Ended August 11, 1922. 1

The reports contained in the following tables must not be considered as complete or final, either as segards the list of countries included or the figures for the particular countries for which reports are given.

#### CHOLERA.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
China: Shanghai. Tientsin. India. Calcutta Rangoon. Siam: Bangkok.	July 5. July 25. June 18-24. June 11-17. May 14-27.	1 2 9 12 4	2 9 12	Foreign. Aug. 2: Prevalent. In foreign concession. Feb. 26-Mar. 25, 1922: Deaths, 5,273. (Report for week ended Feb. 25, 1922, not received.)
Syria: Aleppo	July 2-8			Reported in interior.

### PLAGUE.

May 7-June 4			Rodent; occurring in a section of city. Many dead rats found.
June 11-17	2	1	
	4		
June 4-17		34	
June 4-10	1	1	June 17-24, 1922: Present.
			Jan. 1-June 29, 1922: Cases, 258,
			deaths, 190.
June 15-28	7	1 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	i	4	
	4	4	
	, -	_	
Turne 15-23	9	4	
		6	
		lž	
		3	
		Ĭ	
	June 11-17  June 4-17  June 4-16  June 15-28  June 18-25  June 15-25  June 16-24  June 20-29  June 20-29  June 20-29	June 11-17	June 11-17

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, and other sources.

## Reports Received During Week Ended August 11, 1922—Continued.

 $\label{eq:plague} \textbf{PLAGUE} \textcolor{red}{\textbf{--}} \textbf{Continued.}$ 

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Hawaii: Island of Hawaii— Hamakua Mill Co India	July 8			One plague rat trapped. Faund positive July 14. May 28-June 3, 1922: Cases, 320;
Bombay Calcutta Karachi Madras Presidency Rangoon	May 14–27. June 18–24. dodo	29 2 5 16	26 2 6 7	deaths, 208.
Java	June 11-17	23	21	Occurring in six Provinees. May 1-31, 1922: Cases, 293; deaths, 310.
Siam: Bangkok. On vessel: S. S. Ardeola.	May 14-20 June 25-July 8	2	2	Four plague infected rate found
b. b. Aldevia.	June 20-July 8			Four plague-infected rats found dead. Vessel from Las Pal- mas, Canary Islands, June 26, 1922.
	SMAI	LPOX.		
Brazil:	June 19–25	2		
Do	July 3-16	28	i	
Rio de Janeiro Do	June 18-24	5 8	1 1	
Canada: Ontario—			•	
Ottawa	July 16-22	2		
TalcahuanoChina:	May 22-June 24	33	19	
Antung Chungking	June 12-18	1		Present.
Hankow	June 11–17. June 25–July 1 June 4–17.	i		
Nanking Tsingtau Dominican Republic:	June 4-17 May 29-June 18	3	2	<b>Do.</b>
San Pedro de Macoris Santo Domingo	July 2-8 July 9-15		1 2	In city and district.
FinlandIndia				June 1-15, 1922: One case. Apr. 28-Mar. 25, 1922: Deaths,
Bombay Calcutta Karachi	May 14–27. June 18–24.	7	3	1,642.
Karachi	June 18–24do	4	4	14-63
Madras Rangoon	June 11–17	48 5	20 5	
Japan: Taiwan I sland Yokohama	June 11–20 June 12–25	3 2	1	70 (4) 73 54 (3)
Leeward Islands (West Indies) Domenica	Aug. 5			Present.
Mexico City	June 18-24	28		Including municipalities in Federal district.
Portugal: Lisbon	June 19-25		. 8	
Do Russia: Esthonia.	. June 26-July 8 May 1-31	1	4	
Spain: Barcelona	June 22-28		. 1	
Do Huelva	June 29-July 5 Apr. 1-30		1 2	• ,
Seville Switzerland:	July 1-15	-	. 37	
Zurich Do Syria:	June 18-24 June 25-July 1	2 2		•
Damascus Turkey:	. June 18-24		. 2	1
ConstantinopleYugoslavia:	June 25-July 8	1		
Belgrade	June 25-July 1	. 1	<u> </u>	

## Reports Received During Week Ended August 11, 1922—Continued.

#### TYPHUS FEVER.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Danzig (Free City)	June 4-10	1		
Alexandria	Apr. 9-29	4 28	5 2 18	
Germany: Coblenz Mexico:	do	1		
Mexico City	1	13		Including municipalities in Federal district.
Jerusalem Persia: Teheran	June 27-July 3 Mar. 22-Apr. 22	1	1	
Russia: Esthonia	May 1-31	16		

## Reports Received from July 1 to August 4, 1922.<sup>1</sup> CHOLERA.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
China:				
Amoy	May 14-June 10	1	3	
Athens	June 29	1	1	
Saloniki	June 7-17		าร์	At anamenting station among
India:	June 1-11	30		At quarantine station, among
	A = 22 20	1	1	passengers from vessel carrying Russian refugees.
Bornbay	Apr. 23-29 Apr. 23-June 17		369	Russian relugees.
Calcutta			309	
Madras	May 21-June 17		42	
Rangoon	May 7-June 3	80	42	
Philippine Islands:	3501 7 17	-	1	
Manila	May 21-June 17	7		
Province—	35 00 7 0		_	ļ
Batangas	May 26-June 3		1	
Bulacan			1	l
Camarines Sur			1	
Laguna	Apr. 16-22	1		
Mindoro	Apr. 23-29			•
Pampanga	Apr. 16-May 27	3	3	1
Rizal			1	
Tarlac	May 21-27	1	. 1	
Poland:		1	ł	
_ Rowno	June 18			Present. Among persons repa-
Rumania:	1	l	İ	triated from Russia.
Crangasi				Locality, suburb of city o
-	1	1	İ	Bucharest. Outbreak. To July
Siam:	1	I	1	15, 10 cases, 6 deaths. Firs
Bangkok	Apr. 30-May 13	4	3	
Syria:	1	1		frontier on Dniester River.
Aleppo	May 27-June 3	1		A few cases in interior.
Do	June 25-July 1	1	1	Present in interior.

### PLAGUE.

Asia Minor:			
Smyrna	May 28-June 17	3	1
Brazil:			
Pernambuco	May 7-13	1	
British East Africa:	. 1		1
Kenya Colony— Nairobi	Fab 1_98	15	15
Cevion:	Feb. 1-26	10	10
Colombo	May 6-June 10	9	7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, and other sources.

## Reports Received from July 1 to August 4, 1922—Continued.

### PLAGUE-Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
China:			•	
Amoy	May 7-June 3 May 1-31 May 7-13		32	May 20: From 10 to 20 deaths re
Canton	May 1-31	21	17	ported daily.
Foochow.	May 7-13	4	4	F
Hongkong.	June 4-17	114	72	
	Aune 1-11	114		
cuador:	Tuna 1 15			Date found infected 10:
Guayaquil	June 1-15			Rats found infected, 16; exam
				ined, 3,400.
				Jan. 1-June 15, 1922: Cases, 19
City—			_	deaths, 93.
Alexandria	June 1-12	14	5	<b>.</b>
Port Said	June 12	1	1 1	Septicemic.
Suez	May 24-June 5	3	2	
Province—	•		1	
AssioutBenisouef	May 30-June 12 May 26-June 7	5	4	Septicemic, 1.
Benisonef	May 26-June 7	3	1	, A
Favoum	June 3-6	4	2	
FayoumGharbieh	May 26 Inna 12	21	9	•
Minieh	June 3-6. May 26-June 12 June 2-12	4	ı š	
	• unc 2-12	-	"	
reece:	App. 94 May 14	l .	3	
Patras	Apr. 24-May 14		3	
awaii:	T 00 T 3	۔ ا	-	AA 77-1 77 4 7
Hamakua	June 30-July 4	1	1	At_Kalopa Homesteads. Ca
		l	I	Hawaiian.
Paauhau	June 30			One plague rat, trapped Paauhau Gulch, June 29; fou
	,	ł	l	Paauhau Gulch, June 29: fou
			i	positive, June 30, 1922.
Paauilo	July 7		1	At Pokahea, Japanese,
ndia			_	positive, June 30, 1922. At Pokahea. Japanese. Apr. 23-May 27, 1922: Cas 5,081; deaths, 3,882.
Romboy	Ann 99 Mar 19	110	76	5.081: deaths 3.882
Bombay	Apr. 23-May 13	54		0,001, deaths, 0,002.
Vanashi	More 92 June 17	34		
Karachi. Madras Presidency	May 23-June 17	54		
Madras Presidency	May 21-June 17	58	29	
Rangoon	Apr. 23-May 13 Apr. 23-June 17 May 23-June 17 May 21-June 17 May 6-June 10	118	109	
ava			.	Month of April, 1922: Report
East Java—		l	1 .	the seven Provinces of Ja
Soerabaya	May 7-13	. 2	2	Cases, 413; deaths, 495.
Soerakarta		1	1	l
Keporen	May 20	.]		Epidemic.
Iadagascar:	1	İ	ŀ	
Tananarive Province—		i	1	i ,
Ankestrina	May 4		. 1	Native village; disease stated
	1	1	1	have been present since ab
		1	1	Apr. 27, 1922.
lesopotamia:	1	1	1	112
Bagdad	Apr 1_30	68	40	
[arian:				. '
Vera Cruz	June 30	1	1	One plante infected not
7 01 3 01 u.c	June 30		•	One plague-infected rat.
'eru	·····			May 1-15, 1922: Cases, 33; deat
N. 111	1	1	1	19.
hilippine Islands:	1	1 .	1 .	I
Manila	June 3	. 1	1	From S. S. Taisang from Am
iam:		1	1	China.
Bangkok	Apr. 30-May 13	. 1	1	E .
traits Settlements:	1 -	1		1
Singapore	Apr. 30-June 5	. 7	8	1
Inion of South Africa:	1	1 .	1	1
Orange Free State-	1	1	1	i
Grootkom Farm	May 7-13		1	One dead plague-infected rod
		-1	-	found. Locality adjoins T
	I	1	1	cont's Borg Form or -1
	1	i	1	cart's Berg Farm, on which plague-infected mouse
	i	1	1	piague-iniecteu mouse
Dandamana D. Ct	35	I	1 .	found preceding week.
Rendezvous Ry. Sta-	May 14-20			. Plague infected wildrode
tion.	ŀ	1	i	found near.
On vessel:	1_	1 -	1	·
S. S. Taisang	June 1-3	. 1	1	At Manila, P. I., from Am China. Patient landed at nila June 1, 1922. The Tais was 2½ days en route dir
•	1	1	1 -	China. Patient landed at
	1	1	1	nila June 1, 1922. The Tais
	1	ı	1	was 24 days en route di
	1	1	1	from Amov.
	1	1	l	Amoy.
	•	•		,

## Reports Received from July 1 to August 4, 1922—Continued.

### SMALLPOX.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Arabia:			•	
Aden	May 7-June 24	69	21	
Asia Minor:	May 14-June 24	4		In district.
Smyrna Bolivia:	may 14-June 24	*	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	III districte
La Paz	Mar. 1-Apr. 30	97	16	
Brazil:	-	_		
Para	May 29-June 18 May 14-June 17	6 43	11	
Rio de Janeiro Sao Paulo	Apr. 10-May 7	2	2	
British East Africa:	Lipit to may title	_	_	
Kenya Colony—				
Dar es Salaam	Apr. 16-May 22	13 26	6	
Zanzibar Canada:	May 1-31	20		
Alberta—				
Calgary	June 18-24	1		
Manitoba—	1	_		
Winnipeg	May 6-June 17	3		
New Brunswick— Kent County	June 25-July 1	2		
Madawaska County	June 4-17	6		•
Ontario-	ĺ	_		
North Bay	June 3-17	2 17		
Ottawa Do	June 11-July 1 July 2-8	14		
Toronto	June 18-July 1	5		
Ceylon:	1			
Colombo	May 14-20	1		
Chile:	Mar. 14-June 5	1	62	
ConcepcionQuillon	Mai. 14-June J			In Concepcion Province; epi-
San Patricio	May 16-22	13		demic in May, 1922, with 60
			l	reported cases. To June 5:
				Epidemic. Present.
Takahuano Temuco	do			Province of Cautin; epidemic,
Temuco				May, 1922.
Valparaiso	Mar. 26-Apr. 22		52	Incomplete; several districts not
<b></b> .		1		reporting.
China:	May 7-20	1	1	Present.
Antung	May 29-June 4	3		.!
Chungking	May 29-June 4 May 28-June 10 May 14-20	·		. Do.
Foochow	May 14-20 May 14-June 17	1 36	29	•
Hongkong Manchuria—	. may 14-June 17	30	20	
Dairen	May 15-June 4	. 2	1	
Harbin	May 22-28	. 1		·
Nanking	May 7-June 3	i	· [ ·	Do. Native
Shanghai	May 22-28. May 7-June 3. May 22-28. May 14-20.	· · · · ·		Present.
Tsingtau	May 9-15	1	1	
Chosen (Korea):	1	1 -	ł	
Chemulpo		118	53	•
• Fusan	do	15	2	
Cuba:	1	1	1 -	1
Antilla	June 18-24	. 1		. Reported for Preston.
Cienfuegos	June 24-July 1	. 1		•]
Santiago Dominican Republic:	June 1-39	. 3		1
San Pedro de Macoris	May 21-June 24	. 167	2	City and country. Corrected re
		1	1	port.
Do	June 25-July 1	. 37	9	Present with a few cases in cit
Santo Domingo	June 4-24	1 3	1 8	and country: no mortality
	1	i	1	June 11-17, 1922. July 2-8, 1922: Present in city an
Do	. June 25-July 1	. 1		July 2-8, 1922: Present in city an
P4	1	1 .		country; a few cases.
Egypt: Port Said	June 11-17	. 1	1	
Fiume	June 13-19	i		]
France:	1	1		•
Paris	June 1-10	.\ 1		

## Reports Received from July 1 to August 4, 1922—Continued.

### SMALLPOX—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Great Britain:	•			
Sheffield	May 28-June 17	5		
Southampton	June 18-24	2		
Halifax		• • • • • • •		Outbreak reported under date of
Huddersfield				June 17, 1922. Do.
Greece:		_		
SalonikiSyra Island	May 1-21	3 12	5	
Haiti:				
Cape HaitienPlaine du Nord	June 11–17do	1		Vicinity of Cape Haitien.
India:				Present.
Bombay	Apr. 23-May 6	14 80	6 63	
Calcutta	Apr. 23-June 17 May 23-June 17 May 14-June 17	35	8	
Karachi	May 20-June 17	159	74	
Madras	May 7-June 10	30	<b>'</b> 3	
Rangoon	Lay 1-June 10	- 50		
Japan:	June 19-25	2		
Yokohama	June 19–25 May 29–June 11	2	i	
Java:		_	*	
West Java—	1		t	
Batavia	Apr. 28-June 2	9	1 1	
Luxemburg	June 15-30	1	l	City and Province.
Malta	May 1-June 15	4	1	
Mesopotamia:		١ .		
Bagdad	Apr. 1-30	3	1	
Chihuahua	June 22-July 2		1	
Guadalaiara	May 1-31	7		-
Manzanillo	June 6-25		4	1
Do	June 27-July 3	6	1	Estimated cases, 4 to 10.
Mexico City	May 21-June 10	101		Estimated
	1	26	1	Including municipalities in Fed-
Nogales	July 22	20		eral District. State of Sonora.
Peru Poland		1		May 1-15, 1922: Cases, 5; deaths, 4.
Poland			1	Mar. 26-May 6, 1922: Cases, 696;
Portugal:	1	1		deaths, 157.
Lisbon	May 29-June 18			
Do	June 25-July 1		6	Corrected report.
Spain:	7 12 12			
Corunna	June 11-17do	36	. 1	Work anded Tune 11
Seville	.	30		Week ended June 11; many new cases.
Do	June 19-July 2	1	. 35	Cusco.
Valencia	May 21-27	2	i	120
Straits Settlements:	· ,		-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Singapore	. Apr. 30-June 5	. 11	2	
Switzerland:	I	1	1	
Basel	May 28-June 3	. 1		lagir.
Berne	May 14-20	. 1		·
Zurich	. Apr. 23-June 17	. 7		•
Syria:	Tuno 4 94	1	1	Present.
Aleppo	. June 4-24	-	1	. 1 resent.
Turkey: Constantinople	May 21-June 24	. 21	6	1
Union of South Africa	. may zr vanc zr			Anr 1-30 1022: Cases 42: deaths
Onion of South Aurea	-	-	-1	6 (colored); white, cases, 23. Apr. 1-30, 1922: Cases, 13 (colored); white, 3.
Cape Province	l	.		Apr. 1-30, 1922; Cases, 13 (col
0	1	1		ored); white, 3.
Do	.   May 7-June 3	.		. Outbreaks.
Natal			-	. Apr. 1-30, 1922: Cases, 18; deaths
	N	1	1	6 (colored); white, 20.
Orange Free State	. May 7-27	-		. Outbreaks.
Southern Rhodesia	. may 11-31	. 54	1	
Transvaal	May 7-June 3	-	.1	. Apr. 1-30, 1922: Cases, 12. Outbreaks.
Do Virgin Islands:	. May 1-June 3	.1	-1	· Outbicans.
St. Thomas	June 5-18	. 1	. 1	At quarantine. From vessel from
UV. 4 14 UA4440	1	1	1 *	Dominican Republic.
	1	1		. Sept. 4-24, 1921: Cases, 11; death
Yugoslavia				
Yugoslavia				4.
Serbia				4. Oct. 23-29, 1921: Cases, 5.
	June 11-17			4. Oct. 23-29, 1921: Cases, 5.

## Reports Received from July 1 to August 4, 1922—Continued SMALLPOX—Continued.

	SHAIMI VA	Contin		
Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
On vessels: S. S. Changsha	May 11	1		At Hongkong, China. Case landed from vessel; patient, intending passenger. Vessel proceeded to Australian ports.
S. S. Comeric	do	1		proceeded to Australian ports. At sea, en route to Durban, S. A., from Sydney, Australia. (Public Health Reports, June
Schr. Fancy Me	May 28			At sea, en route to Durban, S. A., from Sydney, Australia. (Public Health Reports, June 23, 1922, p. 1555.) At St. Thomas, Virgin Islands. From San Pedro de Macoris, Dominican Republic. One case removed to quarantine June 5,
S. S. Shelley	Apr. 19	1		died, June 18. At sea en route from Hongkong. Vessel left Hongkong Apr. 17. Arrived Thursday Island Quarantine, Austraha. Apr. 28, 1922. Case, member of crew; type,
S. S. St. Albans	Мау 18	1		Case, member of crew; type, confluent hemorrhagic. At Thursday Island quarantine, Australia. Case in person of Chinese steerage passenger. Vesselleft Shimonoseki, Japan, for Melbourne via Hongtong and Manila. Left Thursday Island for Australian ports.
	TYPHUS	3 FEVE	! R.	
	1	1	1	1
Algeria:	May 1-31	16	4	
Oran.	June 1-30	3	· 1	
Asia Minor:	1	1	_	
Smyrna	May 14-June 24	. 8		City and district. Corrected re-
-		1	1	port.
Austria: Vienna	May 7-June 10	. 3	1	
Bolivia:	may 1-3 une 10	1		
La Paz	Mar. 1-Apr. 30	. 15	8	'
Bulgaria:	, -	ļ	İ	
Sofia	May 28-June 17	. 4		
Chile: Concepcion	Apr. 11-May 29		10	
Valparaiso			6	
China: 1	<u> </u>	١.	l .	
AntungFoochow	May 15-21 May 14-20	1		
Harbin	May 8-June 11	. 4		·
Czechoslovakia: Prague	June 11-17	. 1		
Egypt:	1			
Alexandria	June 4-17	. 4	10	Relapsing fever, Mar. 26-Apr. 8,
Cairo	Mar. 19-Apr. 8 May 28-June 3	1 7		1 case.
Germany	-	-1	.	May 1-6, 1922: Five cases typhus
Berlin	Apr. 30-May 6 May 28-June 3	. i	. 1	fever at quarantine station of Osternothasen, in persons re- turning from Russia.
Greece:	M1 00	. 23	1 .	turning irom Aussia.
Saloniki	. May 1-28	. 23	1	]
Mesopotamia: Bagdad	Apr. 1-30	. 1		.}
Mexico:	1.			7 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Mexico City	. Apr. 23-June 10	. 98		<ul> <li>Including municipalities in Foderal District.</li> </ul>
Poland				Mar. 26-Apr. 22, 1922: Cases, 7,155. Apr. 23-May 6, 1922: Cases, 2,811; deaths, 172. Recurrent typhus—Mar. 26-Apr 22, 1922: Cases, 4,515; deaths 155. Apr. 23-May 6, 1922 Cases, 1,598; deaths, 34. (Cor
Warsaw	. Apr. 25-May 20	80	,	Among permanent and transien
11 at 28 H	.,pr. 20-may 20	-1 -0	1	residents.

## Reports Received from July 1 to August 4, 1922—Continued.

### TYPHUS FEVER—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Portugal: Oporto	May 4-24	9	4	Apr. 1-May 31, 1922: Cases, 62.
Cities—	35 4 64			1-211 223 01, 1022. 02003, 02.
Bucharest Cerenauti	May 1-31do	14 5		
Chisinau	Apr. 1-30	21		
Cluj	May 1-31	18 1		
Galata	do	ī		
Sulina Provinces—	do	2		
Bucovina	Jan. 1-31	35	13	
Chisinau	Apr. 1–30	14 16	3	Recurrent typhus: Cases, 7.
Transylvania Russia:	Jan. 1-31	10	•	
Esthonia		15 275		Bompront tumbus Coses 10
Lettonia Spain:	do	213		Recurrent typhus: Cases, 12.
Seville			1	
Madrid Tunis:	May 1-31	•••••	9	
Tunis	June 4-10	2		
Turkey: Constantinople	May 21-June 17	12		
Union of South Africa	Lady 21 bunc 11			Apr. 1-30, 1922: Cases, 355
				deaths, 77 (colored); white, 3
Cape Province	í	l	1	Apr. 1-30,1922: Cases, 338; deaths 75 (colored); white, 2 cases.
Do Natal	May 7-13		·	Outbreaks. Apr. 1-30, 1922: Cases, 3; deaths
	1	1	l	1 (colored).
Do				Outbreaks. Apr. 1–30, 1922: Cases, 12; deaths
Orange Free State	ł .		1	1 (colored); white, 1 case.
Do	May 28-June 3		.	Outbreaks. Apr. 1-30, 1922: Cases, 2 (colored)
Transvaal				Outbreaks.
Yugoslavia	.	1		Aug. 7-13, 1921: 2 new cases
Bosnia-Herzegovina Croatia-Slavonia	Aug. 7–13 Sept. 4–10	l		Do.
Voivodina	Sept. 4–10 Aug. 7–13	1		. Do.
From vessel: S. S. Smolensk	June 14	1	1	From Danzig, May 30, 1922. A embarkation detention camp
			1	Southampton, England. Pul
				lic Health Reports, June 3 1922, p. 1610.
	YELLO	V FEVE	er.	1.
Mexico:			T	
Tampico	. July 27–29	. 1	. 1	From Panuco. Patient brough to Tampico on eighth day of illness.